



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



On the largest size of a partition that is both s -core and t -core

Amitabha Tripathi

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Hauz Khas, New Delhi 110016, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 June 2008

Revised 15 August 2008

Available online 20 March 2009

Communicated by M. Beck

MSC:

05A17

11P81

11P99

Keywords:

Partition

Hook

Hook number

 t -Core

Structure number

 t -Abacus

ABSTRACT

Text. Let s, t be relatively prime positive integers. We prove a conjecture of Aukerman, Kane and Sze regarding the largest size of a partition that is simultaneously s -core and t -core by solving an equivalent problem concerning sets S of positive integers with the property that for $n \in S$, $n - s \in S$ whenever $n \geq s$ and $n - t \in S$ whenever $n \geq t$.

Video. For a video summary of this paper, please visit <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o1OEug8LryU>.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The theory of partitions traces its history back several centuries, to Euler and Jacobi, and [1] is a standard reference. In addition to a more apparent link to combinatorics, partitions of n are in one-to-one correspondence with irreducible representations of the symmetric group S_n ; see [6] for a comprehensive account. Certain types of partitions, called t -core partitions, have played a role in combinatorial proofs of identities, and additionally have applications to Representation Theory when t is prime.

Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ denote a partition of size $|\Lambda| := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_m$, with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$. The *Ferrers–Young* diagram of Λ is a rectangular arrangement of nodes such that the i th row contains λ_i nodes. Corresponding to each node placed in the cell (i, j) is defined its *hook* which

E-mail address: atripath@maths.iitd.ac.in.

consists of all nodes directly below or to the right of the node together with the node itself. The *hook number* $H(i, j)$ is the number of nodes in the hook of (i, j) , and equals $(\lambda_i - i) + (\lambda'_j - j) + 1$, where λ'_j denotes the number of nodes in the j th column of the rectangular arrangement. For a positive integer t , a t -core partition is a partition Λ for which $t \nmid H(i, j)$ for each (i, j) . The *structure numbers*, sometimes referred to as first column hook numbers, are the numbers $H(1, 1), H(2, 1), \dots, H(m, 1)$, that appear in the first column of the rectangular array of the hook numbers. Observe that the set S_Λ of structure numbers *uniquely* determine the partition Λ via $\lambda_i = H(i, 1) - (m - i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{n \in S_\Lambda} n = |\Lambda| + \frac{1}{2}|S_\Lambda|(|S_\Lambda| - 1). \tag{1}$$

Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$. A t -*abacus* is a rectangular array with t columns in which a structure number (called a “bead”) is placed in cell (r, c) provided it is of the form $rt + c$, $r \geq 0$, $0 \leq c < t$. Cells not occupied by the m beads are left blank. It is well known that a partition Λ is t -core if and only if each column of the corresponding t -abacus is filled by a bead in each of the first few rows, with no gap. Now, suppose Λ is t -core and $rt + c = n \in S_\Lambda$ occupies the cell (r, c) in the t -abacus. If $r = 0$, then $n = c < t$; if $r \geq 1$, then $n - t = (r - 1)t + c$ occupies the cell $(r - 1, c)$ in the t -abacus and must belong to S_Λ , since all cells above (r, c) in column c of the t -abacus must be occupied by elements of S_Λ . Conversely, suppose S is any set of positive integers such that $n \in S$ and $n \geq t$ together imply $n - t \in S$. If Λ is the partition whose structure set S_Λ is S , then Λ is t -core since each column in the t -abacus of Λ is occupied by elements of S_Λ in each of the first few rows, with no gap.

Let s, t be positive and relatively prime. The above argument, due to Kane and implicit in [2], demonstrates the connection between partitions Λ that are both s -core and t -core on one hand and sets S of positive integers (which turns out to be the set of its structure numbers S_Λ) which have the property that for $n \in S$, $n - s \in S$ if $n \geq s$ and $n - t \in S$ if $n \geq t$, on the other hand.

The study of partitions that are simultaneously s -core and t -core has been studied by several authors in the last decade; for example, [3,7,9]. Aukerman, Kane and Sze in [2, Conjecture 8.1] conjectured that the largest size of a partition that is both s -core and t -core is $(s^2 - 1)(t^2 - 1)/24$ if $\gcd(s, t) = 1$. Olsson and Stanton recently proved this conjecture in [8] by determining necessary and sufficient conditions for an s -block of integer partitions to be contained in a t -block. We give a simpler and much shorter proof of this conjecture by using the connection established above. More specifically, this together with (1), shows that conjecture is equivalent to proving that any set S of positive integers with the property that $n \in S$ implies $n - s \in S$ if $n \geq s$ and $n - t \in S$ if $n \geq t$ must satisfy

$$\sum_{n \in S} n \leq \frac{1}{2}|S|(|S| - 1) + \frac{1}{24}(s^2 - 1)(t^2 - 1), \tag{2}$$

and that $(s^2 - 1)(t^2 - 1)/24$ is the largest number in addition to $|S|(|S| - 1)/2$ that serves as an upper bound in (2).

2. Proof of the conjecture

In this section, we prove (2). In fact, we also prove that equality in (2) appears precisely in the case $S = \{sx + ty \geq 1: x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, xy < 0\}$, which we believe was conjectured by Kane and Sze in the 2004 West Coast Number Theory Conference held at UNLV, Las Vegas, NV, USA. The extremal set S is closely related to the Coin Exchange Problem of Frobenius, as we shall presently see.

Our proof of the conjecture relies on the correspondence between partitions that are simultaneously s -core and t -core and the sets of positive integers S which contains $n - s$ if $n \geq s$ and $n - t$ if $n \geq t$, whenever $n \in S$. Although we have indicated an argument for this in the Introduction, we use a different and more direct argument that does not rely on the concept of a t -abacus. As pointed out by one the referees, this result appears as [5, Lemma 1], but our proof of this is slightly different from that in [5] and we not only include it for this reason but also for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 1. (Frame, Robinson and Thrall [5].) Let S_Λ denote the set of structure numbers of the partition $\Lambda := (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$. Fix $H(r, 1) \in S_\Lambda$. Then

$$\{H(r, 1) - H(i, 1) : r + 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{H(r, j) : 2 \leq j \leq \lambda_r\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, H(r, 1) - 1\},$$

where the union is disjoint.

Proof. Fix r , where $1 \leq r \leq m$. We write $A := \{H(r, 1) - H(i, 1) : r + 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, $B := \{H(r, j) : 2 \leq j \leq \lambda_r\}$ and $C := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, H(r, 1) - 1\}$ for convenience. If $r = m$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_m$, we have $A = \emptyset$ and $H(m, j) = \lambda_m - j + 1$, so that $B = C$. Henceforth, we assume that $1 \leq r < m$. Since $A \cup B \subseteq C$ and $|A| + |B| = |C|$, it suffices to prove that $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Consider $i \in \{r + 1, r + 2, \dots, m - 1\}$. We claim that

$$H(i, 1) + H(r, \lambda_i + 1) < H(r, 1) < H(i, 1) + H(r, \lambda_i).$$

By definition, $\lambda'_{\lambda_i} \geq i$, with equality if and only if $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$. Hence

$$H(i, 1) + H(r, \lambda_i) = \{(\lambda_i - i) + m\} + \{(\lambda_r - r) + (\lambda'_{\lambda_i} - \lambda_i) + 1\} \geq (\lambda_r - r) + m + 1 = H(r, 1) + 1,$$

with equality if and only if $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$.

Again, by definition, $\lambda'_{\lambda_{i+1}} \leq i - 1$, with equality if and only if $\lambda_{i-1} > \lambda_i$. Hence

$$H(i, 1) + H(r, \lambda_i + 1) = \{(\lambda_i - i) + m\} + \{(\lambda_r - r) + (\lambda'_{\lambda_{i+1}} - \lambda_i)\} \leq (\lambda_r - r) + m - 1 = H(r, 1) - 1,$$

with equality if and only if $\lambda_{i-1} > \lambda_i$. Hence the claim, and the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 1. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$, and let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let S_Λ denote the set of structure numbers of Λ . If Λ is t -core, then S_Λ is a set of positive integers with the property that $n - t \in S_\Lambda$ whenever $n \in S_\Lambda$ and $n \geq t$. Conversely, if S is any set of positive integers such that $n \in S$ and $n \geq t$ together imply $n - t \in S$, then $S = S_\Lambda$ for some t -core partition Λ .

Proof. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$, and let $t \in \mathbb{N}$. If Λ is t -core, $n \in S_\Lambda$ and $n \geq t$, then $n = H(r, 1)$ for some r with $1 \leq r \leq m$. Since no hook number can equal t , by Theorem 1, $n - t = H(r', 1)$ for some $r' > r$, so that $n - t \in S_\Lambda$. Conversely, suppose S is any set of positive integers with the property that $n \in S$ and $n \geq t$ together imply $n - t \in S$. The set S uniquely defines a partition Λ for which $S_\Lambda = S$. By Theorem 1, Λ is t -core, for if some $H(r, c) = \kappa t \in t\mathbb{N}$, then $n - \kappa t \notin S$ whereas $n = H(r, 1) \in S$. \square

Henceforth, we use the variables a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k in general or a, b when $k = 2$ in place of s, t .

Definition 1. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be positive integers with $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = 1$. Let

$$\Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) := \{a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_kx_k : x_i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\},$$

and let $\Gamma^c(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) := \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$. Let m_i be the least positive integer in $\Gamma(a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k)$ congruent to i modulo a_1 for $1 \leq i \leq a_1 - 1$.

It easily follows that $n \in \Gamma^c(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ if and only if $n = m_i - a_1x_1$ for some $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and some i , $1 \leq i \leq a_1 - 1$. In particular, $n \in \Gamma^c(a_1, a_2)$ if and only if $n = a_2i - a_1x_1$ for some $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and some i , $1 \leq i \leq a_1 - 1$. Henceforth, we write Γ and Γ^c to denote the sets $\Gamma(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ and $\Gamma^c(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, respectively, and write a, b for a_1, a_2 , respectively.

Lemma 1. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be positive integers with $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = 1$. Let S be a set of positive integers such that for $n \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, $n \geq a_i$ implies $n - a_i \in S$. Then $S \subseteq \Gamma^c$, with equality if and only if $m_i - a_1 \in S$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. Suppose $n \in S \cap \Gamma$. Then $n = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_kx_k$, so that $0 \in S$, which contradicts our assumption. Thus $S \subseteq \Gamma^c$. The conditions under which the equality holds follow easily from the remarks following Definition 1. \square

Corollary 2. Let a, b be positive integers with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let S be a set of positive integers such that for $n \in S$, $n \geq a$ implies $n - a \in S$ and $n \geq b$ implies $n - b \in S$. Then $S \subseteq \Gamma^c$, with equality if and only if $ab - a - b \in S$.

Proof. Observe that the set of minima m_i is the set $\{bj : 1 \leq j \leq a - 1\}$. The corollary now follows from Lemma 1 and the fact that $m_{-b} - a = b(a - 1) - a \in S$ implies $m_{bi} - a = bi - a \in S$ for $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$. \square

The *Coin Exchange Problem* is the determination of the largest number in $\Gamma^c(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, and was solved in the case $k = 2$ by Sylvester in [11]; this is the first part of the following theorem. Closely related is the second part, also due to Sylvester in [10]. The third part is due to Brown and Shiue in [4]; a shorter proof may be found in [12].

Theorem 2. Let a, b be positive integers with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Then

$$g(a, b) := \max \Gamma^c(a, b) = (a - 1)(b - 1) - 1;$$

$$n(a, b) := |\Gamma^c(a, b)| = \frac{1}{2}(a - 1)(b - 1);$$

$$s(a, b) := \sum_{n \in \Gamma^c(a, b)} n = \frac{1}{12}(a - 1)(b - 1)(2ab - a - b - 1).$$

Lemma 2. Let a, b be positive integers with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let S be any set of positive integers such that for $n \in S$, $n \geq a$ implies $n - a \in S$ and $n \geq b$ implies $n - b \in S$. For each i , $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$, let λ_i be the least positive integer such that $bi - a\lambda_i \in S$. Then $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{a-1}$.

Proof. Suppose $1 \leq i < j \leq a - 1$. From $bj - a\lambda_j \in S$ and $j > i$, it follows that $bi - a\lambda_j \in S$. Thus $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_j$ from the definition of λ_i . \square

The following inequality is crucial to proving the main result.

Lemma 3. Let a, b, t be positive integers, with $1 < a < b$. If $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_{a-1}$, $t_i < bi/a$ for $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$, and $t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{a-1} = t$, then

$$2b \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} it_i - a \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} t_i^2 > (a - 1)bt - t^2. \tag{3}$$

Proof. We prove the result by inducting on a , for fixed b . For $a = 2$, (3) amounts to proving $2bt_1 - 2t_1^2 > bt_1 - t_1^2$, or $t_1 < b$, which is true by assumption. Assume the result for all values of $a \leq k$, where $k < b$. Let $a = k + 1 < b$, and consider any non-decreasing sequence of nonnegative integers $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^k$, where $t_i < bi/(k + 1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i = t$. Observe that the sequence $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$ satisfies $t_i < bi/k$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i = t - t_k$. Hence, by induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
 2b \sum_{i=1}^k it_i - (k+1) \sum_{i=1}^k t_i^2 &= \left(2b \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} it_i - k \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i^2 \right) + 2bkt_k - (k+1)t_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i^2 \\
 &> \{ (k-1)b(t-t_k) - (t-t_k)^2 \} + 2bkt_k - (k+1)t_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i^2 \\
 &= (kbt - t^2) - b(t-t_k) + bkt_k + 2tt_k - (k+2)t_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i^2 \\
 &= (kbt - t^2) - b(t-t_k) + \{ (k-1)bt_k + bt_k \} \\
 &\quad + \{ 2t_k(t-t_k) - (k-1)t_k^2 - t_k^2 \} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i^2 \\
 &= (kbt - t^2) + bt_k + b \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i) - t_k^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i)^2 \\
 &= (kbt - t^2) + (b-t_k)t_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_k - t_i)(b - t_k + t_i) \\
 &> kbt - t^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the inductive proof. \square

Remark 1. Write

$$S := \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (2bi - at_i)t_i = \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (bi)^2 - \frac{1}{a} \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (bi - at_i)^2 = \frac{1}{6}(a-1)(2a-1)b^2 - \frac{1}{a}T,$$

where $T := \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (bi - at_i)^2$. Observe that $bi - at_i$ are positive integers from the nonzero residue classes mod a with fixed sum $\frac{1}{2}a(a-1)b - at = a\{\frac{1}{2}(a-1)b - t\} = a\sigma$. A simple algebraic calculation shows that the inequality of Lemma 3 is equivalent to proving that

$$T := \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} (bi - at_i)^2 < a \left\{ \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{12}(a^2 - 1)b^2 \right\}.$$

Theorem 3. Let a, b be positive integers with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Let S be any set of positive integers such that for $n \in S$,

$$n \geq a \text{ implies } n - a \in S \text{ and } n \geq b \text{ implies } n - b \in S.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \in S} n \leq \frac{1}{2}|S|(|S| - 1) + \frac{1}{24}(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1), \tag{4}$$

with equality if and only if $ab - a - b \in S$.

Proof. From Corollary 1, $S \subseteq \Gamma^c$, with equality if and only if $ab - a - b \in S$. We first show that equality in (4) occurs when $S = \Gamma^c$. This translates to showing that

$$s(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}n(a, b)\{n(a, b) - 1\} + \frac{1}{24}(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1),$$

which can be easily verified by using the results of Theorem 2. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}n(a, b)\{n(a, b) - 1\} + \frac{1}{24}(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(a - 1)(b - 1)(ab - a - b - 1) + \frac{1}{24}(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{24}(a - 1)(b - 1)\{3(ab - a - b - 1) + (a + 1)(b + 1)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{12}(a - 1)(b - 1)(2ab - a - b - 1) \\ &= s(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that there is strict inequality in (4) when $ab - a - b \notin S$, or equivalently when $S \subset \Gamma^c$. Consider such a set S , and let $\Gamma^c \setminus S$ have t_i elements in the class $bi \pmod a$ for $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$. These elements must be $\{bi - ax_i : 1 \leq x_i \leq t_i\}$, with $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq \dots \leq t_{a-1}$ and $t_i < bi/a$ for $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$, by Lemma 2. Set $t := t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{a-1}$. We have equal sums on both sides of (4) when $S = \Gamma^c$. Removal of the t elements from Γ^c results in a decrease in the sum on the left-hand side of (4) by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a-1} \left(bit_i - \frac{1}{2}at_i(t_i + 1) \right) = b \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} it_i - \frac{1}{2}a \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} t_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}at, \tag{5}$$

and a decrease in the sum on the right-hand side of (4) by

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(n - t)(n - t - 1) = nt - \frac{1}{2}t(t + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(a - 1)(b - 1)t - \frac{1}{2}t(t + 1), \tag{6}$$

where $n = |\Gamma^c| = n(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(a - 1)(b - 1)$. Thus, proving the strict inequality in (4) is equivalent to proving that the sum in (5) is greater than the sum in (6) when $1 \leq t \leq n - 1$. This simplifies to

$$2b \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} it_i - a \sum_{i=1}^{a-1} t_i^2 > (a - 1)bt - t^2, \tag{7}$$

which is the result of Lemma 3. Hence the theorem. \square

We restate Theorem 3 in the equivalent form of the conjecture as follows:

Theorem 4. *The largest size of a partition that is both a -core and b -core is $(a^2 - 1)(b^2 - 1)/24$ if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. Moreover, the unique partition λ of largest size has structure set $S_\lambda = \{ax + by : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, xy < 0\} = \Gamma^c(a, b)$.*

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Gerry Myerson for introducing him to the conjecture, to Ben Kane for introducing him to the related problem, and to B.J. Venkatachala for helping in the proof of Lemma 3. The author also gratefully acknowledges the careful comments of the two referees.

Supplementary material

The online version of this article contains additional supplementary material.
Please visit [doi:10.1016/j.jnt.2008.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2008.08.009).

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] D. Aukerman, B. Kane, L. Sze, On simultaneous s -cores/ t -cores, preprint.
- [3] J.K. Anderson, Partitions which are simultaneously t_1 - and t_2 -core, *Discrete Math.* 248 (2002) 237–243.
- [4] T.C. Brown, P.J. Shiue, A remark related to the Frobenius Problem, *Fibonacci Quart.* 31 (1993) 31–36.
- [5] J.S. Frame, G. de B. Robinson, R.M. Thrall, The hook graphs of the symmetric group, *Canad. J. Math.* 6 (1954) 316–324.
- [6] G. James, A. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, *Encyclopedia Math. Appl.*, vol. 16, Addison–Wesley, 1981.
- [7] G. Navarro, W. Willems, When is a p -block a q -block? *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997) 1589–1591.
- [8] J.B. Olsson, D. Stanton, Block inclusions and cores of partitions, *Aequationes Math.* 74 (2007) 90–110.
- [9] J.-C. Puchta, Partitions which are p - and q -core, *Integers* 1 (2001), A6, 3 pp.
- [10] J.J. Sylvester, On subvariants, i.e. semi-invariants to binary quantities of an unlimited order, *Amer. J. Math.* 5 (1882) 119–136.
- [11] J.J. Sylvester, Mathematical questions with their solutions, *Educational Times* 41 (1884) 21.
- [12] A. Tripathi, On sums of positive integers that are not of the form $ax+by=n$, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 115 (4) (2008) 363–364.