Welcome to tenth lecture of video courses on Tribology. The title of this lecture is wear analysis. In my previous four lectures, we discussed various mechanisms related to wear. Now, today's lecture is related to how to analyze some failure related to wear. Can we go ahead systematically point by point? Can we pin point what kind of wear mechanism is taking place and how we can get remedy for that? How can we reduce that kind of wear mechanism?

In true sense there will never be a single wear mechanism which will cause a failure. It will be generally related to one wear mechanism, which will promote another wear mechanism. So, generally they are integrated one way or other. Thinking only one wear mechanism may not give a 100 percentage solution. In addition to that, we need to know that there are too many system parameters which affect the wear mechanism. So, we need to think out of box whenever we attack this kind of problem. We need to find alternative solution from the load point of view, from system point of view, from materials point of view, from lubrication point of view. Quite possible one solution may be better solution compared to other solution otherwise every solution will provide some results some results comparative results but, we should choose the best solution.
So, first question comes in our mind can one estimate the wear rate? I believe yes. They have derived the equation for adhesive wear. We have derived the equation for abrasive wear. We have derived the equation for erosive wear and fatigue wear also. Using those equations I can estimate wear rate. So, first your answer is yes. We can estimate the wear rate but, professor Ludema, Michigan University disagrees with that. He says that or I am quoting his sentence overall it is probably accurate to say that there is a little incentive for a designer to use any of wear equations available in the literature. What is the reason for that? He proved the reasons also. He says a scan of many wear models shows considerable intrepidity or inconsistency.

There is some variation from one equation to other equation and people are not coming or converging to one equation except the orchards model. What are the reasons? The equations have either too many undefined variables or too few variables or too lesser number of variables to adequately describe the system. Both the complexity either the system is not been identified properly or there are too many variables which cannot be determined by few experiments. You have to do a number of experiments to get the results.

So using directly equations or using wear equations directly may not give complete solutions. We need to think from other angle. In addition to all this we say most of the available equations are derived for mild wear rate of the components. What is the meaning of that? At wear rate if it is on the mild domain mild regime then only can be predicted. In severe wear case, it cannot be predicted or can say if we are rejecting some component. It is because of severe wear, because of the high wear rate that is why we are rejecting mild wear. We will not be rejecting so fast or rejecting severe wear will not be easy for us. If it is a severe wear then we are rejecting but, we will not diagnose what is the wear mechanism. Severe wear may come with a number of combinations of wear mechanism.

So finding a root cause failure will be although the difficult situation by using one single equation. Instead of that we should always go ahead with root level or we start always with scratch level, try to diagnose what are the forces, how the forces are getting transferred from one surface to other surface, are they really intentionally they have been transferred or because of the some system problem, they are getting transferred. So, number of situations is possible. So, it will be always advisable to go ahead step by step sequentially and try to analyse if we directly jump see the wear and find out what will be the wear mechanism that may not give good results.
Finally, from this slide comes the conclusion that to estimate wear theoretical equations as well as experimental coefficients are required. That means we cannot get 100 percent results just by physical relations. We need to have experimental coefficients or experiments performed on the system to get the results.

We can compare. If we want to compare 2 materials, 4 materials or compare 2 system designs then wear equations or theoretical equations may give good results. But, we want to find out absolute sense the life. Then we need to find the need to use experimental coefficient too.

Let us take one example. This slide shows the cam which has some sort of bits over the surface. This cam was rejected because it was making some noise and it was not performing its intended function and this portion shows clearly what are the number of bits and if I take some reference axis as 0 degree here and rotate by 190 rotate by 270 degree; so this kind of wear occurs roughly 270 degree 270 plus minus some degree.

If I take reference axis over here, then this will turn out to be around 90 degree. That is why this heading comes this wear has occurred at the 91 degrees. That means there was a reference axis over here and 91 degree over here if I am assuming the rotational motion is clockwise. Can we do systematic approach or can we follow systematic approach to estimate this kind of pitting life of cam follower? Even though in my previous slide I mentioned that

---

**CAN ONE ESTIMATES WEAR RATE?**

**Yes. By using Wear Equations**

- Quoting Ludema’s words [1991]
  
  “Overall, it is probably accurate to say that there is little incentive for a designer to use any of the wear-equations available in the literature.

  A scan of many wear models shows considerable incongruity. Equation have either too many undefined variables or too few variables to adequately describe the system”.

  Most of available equations are derived/made for mild wear rate of components.

**NOTE: To estimate wear Theoretical equations as well as Experimental coefficients are required.**
wear will not occur alone it will be a combination of wear mechanism which will work together.
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**Ex: Cam Wear Analysis**

- Systematic approach to estimate Pitting Life of Cam-Follower mechanisms. Can it be operated at higher rotational speed?

However this was precision related operation so wanted to be this portion of this cam was rejected much earlier stage. Just within a year it was replaced with a new cam. They wanted accuracy and interesting point is that this cam was used for filling the tooth paste in tube reduction in quantity or more quantity was a bad quality and they wanted to maintain the quality of the tooth paste in tube or proper quantity that is why they rejected at the earlier stage so that there should not be much problem.

And we can say, we can start with the pitting wear even though I mentioned that always the combination will work but here, the pitting wear is happening. So, I am going to analyse it as a pitting wear but, it can be combined with the some sort of corrosion because of pitting is always aggregated if there is moisture, if there is a corrosive environment. So, I showed only the cam but, it is also related to follower. The cam follower they are generally used as a 1 unit. In addition to that, question comes can we operate this mechanism at the higher speed? Because if I go ahead with the Strubeck curve. Now if speed of operation is increased then wear coefficient will decrease and friction coefficient will decrease or friction coefficient will decrease that is why the wear will decrease.
So question comes can we really operate at a slightly higher speed compared to what we are operating? If I assume this cam was operated at 60 RPM can I think about operating at 65 RPM? What will be the benefit of that? Faster filling of the tooth paste will happen with a higher RPM and if we are able to gain 4-5 percentage production that will help us or that will overall give the good returns. So, first is that how to analyse this pitting value and second we can think about increasing the speed without much problem or if speed is going to reduce the wear, increase the life we should opt for that.

So first question; how does pitting failure occurs? I am showing 2 blocks; 1 is yellow color block and other is blue color block and here the double headed arrow is shown. That shows that stresses load will be reversible in natural. It will be applied and relieved applied and relieved.

Now, blue color block is shown. It says some sort of stress profile and this stress is shown only a shear stress. We are not showing a compressive stress. There is a reason for that. We know pitting occurs generally because of shear stresses. It is not because of the compressive stresses or in another words we can say one direction is a compressive stress but, that is inducing the shear stress in lateral direction that causes a fracture, that causes a failure and interesting thing is that shear will be lesser at the surface and shear stress will be higher at the sub surface. That is why many times if there is no surface crack available, fatigue will start from the sub surface and if there is one crack, subsequently other crack they get moist together, they become bigger in size instable and the fracture will occur.

So we just keeping this thing in our mind we are starting. Now, it has been mentioned that this kind of pitting failure is happening because of the reversible loading, because of the dynamical loading because of change in magnitude of the load. Now, can we really reduce this magnitude? First thing is that from where this load coming? If I apply a constant load then can we really remove or avoid this kind of failure can we say that this kind of failure will not occur?

So first we did estimation of the force which is getting transferred from cam to follower and cam is also experiencing a reaction force. So, this force is changing with the degree with a rotational angle as the cam rotates.
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How does pitting failure occur?

- Pitting is a fatigue wear. Reversible stresses are main cause of such failure.

It experiences different kind of forces. We can see here the force is roughly twelve 100 Newton steady for some time and then goes down and then goes up at the may be the maximum value in this cycle is a 90 degree. At the 90 degree the load is high. Again it remains to a stationary position from roughly 120 degree to 240 degree or 243 degree. It remains as stationary. It does not change. It is static. After that again it shoots up. It goes on a higher value crosses compared to this magnitude and the maximum value occurs around 270 degree and then again goes back to the lower value dips and then again rises.

And here what we are referring from the top axis if I go back this is what I am going to say this axis is at 0 degree rotation and this is 270 degree. Exactly, so whatever we are thinking, pitting wear has occurred at 270 degree. Exactly, so whatever we are thinking, pitting wear has occurred at 270 degree. But, I cannot conclude just by finding the force how much force is generated at the inter phase. We need to do more analysis. One point, one positive point is that we found the failure at and around 20 270 degree and we found the failure because of the high load that is the one possibility that high load is there.

Now, question comes that can we really reduce this load? Or can we reduce the dynamic variation in the load? Can we bring to the steady state condition? So, this is what slide says can dynamic load be reduced? Interesting thing is yes, it can be. Now, if I find the pressure angle variation with the cam angle with the rotation of the cam, how pressure angle is varying. It says that pressure is 0 as it reaches to 42 degree angle in negative direction then it
remains as stationary around 120 to 240 degree then it goes up and maximum pressure angle is 45 degree.

If I reduce this pressure angle, dynamic load will reduce. We can see the comparison wherever pressure angle is maximum load is maximum. Again the pressure angle is the maximum here, the load is also the maximum over here and this load is a vector combination as a vector sum of the two components. That is why the negative sign is getting mixed. It is not we are not showing any negative sign. This is a vector sum and that will remain positive in magnitude. It is not with the direction.

See, if we reduce, we can redesign the system with a lesser pressure angle. This load will decrease and overall life of the cam will increase to give a complete definition to pressure angle. We can say the pressure angle is the angle between the direction of motion and axis of transmission or axis of load the transmission along which the load is getting passed and this gives a couple of good indications to us. First thing is that, if the pressure angle is 0 is not changing what we can say transmitted force is completely utilized to move the follower.
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Can dynamic load be reduced?

- Angle between direction of motion & axis of transmission.
  - $\phi=0 \rightarrow$ Transmitted force is completely utilize to move the follower
  - $\phi=90^\circ \rightarrow$ No motion of the follower. Gross sliding.

So it is fulfilling that, I completed intended purpose we are applying force to move the object to move the follower and it is moving in the same direction. It is not changing its direction and 100 percentage of force is getting transmitted. What it is fulfilling its intended function? However if the pi is equal to 90 degree you are applying force, object is not moving at all.
That means 100 percent force is misused or we say that it is not utilized at all. It is inducing the stresses but, it is not giving any other useful function to us.

So we should avoid 590 degree. We should always encourage 5 0 but, we know the bath motion and because of the variation of the motion there will be always some pressure angle. If we go for the better design, larger radius this pressure angle can be reduced. But, it will occupy more space and space constraint will always be there. It is going to increase the size of the machine, so it will always look for a lower size machine. So, there will be always a trade drop. We cannot say that some pressure angle 0 is possible. It is possible but, because of the other constraints we will not be going for pressure angle 0 always may be few degrees some changes that is also shown over here from 120 degree to 240 degree pressure angle is almost 0 and then force is steady.

In another word, this much force is sufficient to give our purpose or this steady component is sufficient to fulfil the function. But, additional force is coming because of the pressure angle, because of the force in other direction is getting diverted and that is why we need to increase the pressure. More load is required, almost a two time load is required. Compared to this, so we can go for the better design. We can reduce the pressure angle; we can reduce the dynamic load. We can bring to the steady value the first constraint comes. But, we cannot bring pi is equal to 0 always because of the path motion there will be some finite value greater than 0 for some angle may be say the 60 degree 70 degree that will increase the load.

Well, in addition to that if I pressurize too much now, that I want always the pressure angle 0 and we want force to be transmitted. So, the load dynamic load is not there and there should not be any failure of cam, it should sustain infinite life. It can also be done, we can argue on that we can go for a better design; we can go for a complete dynamic design using multi body dynamics. But, question comes; will that be a 100 percent solution?

So this slide poses a question; can dynamic load be eliminated? To answer this question it is always preferable to see how cam follower mechanism is really functioning. What is the interaction between cam and follower? So this is the grooved sheep cam and follower which is not visible to us is somewhere here, is moving in groove and there is a link. There is a follower is here follower is grooving moving in this direction this is another link for support. Follower moves in this path and some clearance is provided for free motion. So, that is why we say that this is a radial cam and it is strapping roller follower.
We provide some clearance so that cam can rotate about its own axis. It is rotating about the cam axis also, instantaneous cam axis but, we want it should freely move about its own axis. However there will be lot of friction. There will be sliding we want a pure rolling motion.
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Can dynamic load be Eliminated?

Cam (radial) groove to trap roller follower.

- Cam rotation pushes follower on the shaped geometry.
  Clearance for free movement of roller follower about its axis.
  Loading & Unloading is inherent in rolling contact.

We know pure rolling will have will have a lesser coefficient of friction or much lesser coefficient of friction compared to sliding friction. So, it is always advisable to keep some clearance that can be decided on how much clearance is just sufficient, which is not creating any problem of the contact they are not creating any problem of the sliding.

But when we see this kind of mechanism, we understand there is a clearance and we are allowing roller to rotate about its own axis and it is also rotating about cam axis, instantaneous cam axis then this point comes the loading and unloading is inherent in rolling contact or even though we can try pressure angle, we can bring pressure angle to 0 but, because of this loading and unloading which happens at the rolling contact that is going to introduce some sort of dynamic load. So, pressure angle making 0 is not going to fulfil the function. It is not going to give us the desirable results. Even though we do all the claims we make good mechanism we go ahead with the multi links try to make pressure angle as low as possible to 0 as close to 0 as possible.

But still in that case because of the rolling contact nature there will be loading and unloading. That means there will be a force and there will not be force and it will not be generating a
dynamic load. To understand that let us take a look or just go through this slide. We say there is a blue color I am assuming this is a follower and there is a line a straight line assuming the cam radius is much larger, much larger compared to the follower radius. So, can be approximated as a flat surface or we can make effective radius and give complete effective radius to the roller and make this cam surface as an infinity radius.

Now, in that condition when this follower is stationary on the cam surface nothing happens. If that is subjected to the load nothing happens it just remains there now, if we apply load there will be some parabolic distribution of the force or parabolic distribution of the pressure generated at point of contact or line of contact. I am assuming the line that the follower has some finite length shows the line of contact applying a force. Line of contact will be turning out to be elliptical contact or rectangular contact. And pressure will be maximum at the center minimum at the edges of that contact it will not be stationary.

Now, if suppose this stress which is generated at the pressure, which is generated in surface is known as contact stresses or Hertzian stresses. Hertzian was the first person who could estimate these kinds of stresses. That is why his formulation which should be generally used under dry condition when there is no lubrication and assuming the coefficient of friction is 0. We can utilize his expression directly. He gave expressions for the cylinder versus cylinder contact and we can find out what will be contact patch and how pressure will vary. What that is an ideal situation and using this formula we can think about the contact stress as a function of radius of follower radius of cam. This clearly indicates the cam radius need to be larger than follower if there is a negative sign. If there is a positive sign then any combination is fine. But, generally we use a positive sign if the both the surface are convex we use a negative sign when the 1 surface is concave and the another surface is convex and our mechanism both the surfaces are working, we have a convex contact and concave contact, how that is going to be described in a following slide but, what is the interesting point which we can gain from this is that as the radius is increasing, contact stresses will go down, will reduce. Similarly, if the cam radius is increasing and if it is convex then we can say again contact stresses will be reduced and however if there is a concave and convex combination then over all combination will be having lesser results or we can say that convex and concave inter phase will induce lesser contact stresses compared to convex and concave mixer.
That is why we need to think over, do we really going to recommend convex or convex concave inter phase and as I mentioned that in our case study or cam follower study, both the combinations are there.

Now, to find out whether really dynamic load is inherent; this slide, this picture is example for that. We say that the load is applied and we know their follower is going to rotate about its x axis is slightly away because of the pressure profile, even then if it is not coming very near to that, this surface will be experiencing some stresses. That is why I have shown the low magnitude stresses. I am just showing with line with some magnitude. We are not saying this absolute it is just a comparative purpose, some magnitude is here.

Now, it rotates slightly towards the line then this magnitude is going to increase. That means initially it was point like here. Now, it comes somewhere here the pressure the contact pressure will increase. That will increase the contact stresses and if it continues the direct load or we say that point of contact or line of contact directly comes on top of this point, then stress will be maximum as it is continuously rotating, it will move away from the point, the stress will reduce. Again it will move away from the point further stresses will reduce and finally, it will come to 0.
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\[ \sigma_c \propto \sqrt{\frac{1}{R_{\text{follower}}} \pm \frac{1}{R_{\text{cam}}}} \]
So just because of the rolling action, same point is subjected to the x unit of stress 5 x unit of a stress x and 10 x unit of the stress and again as roller passes that point, it’s coming back from 10 x to 5 x and from 5 x to 1 x and 1 x to 0 x. So, whatever we do rolling contact are going to give us dynamic load. This kind of inter phase is always going to give us variation in the stress. May be from 0 to maximum compressive stress and that is going to introduce some sort of shear force in the surface or sub surface.

Now but, in the last slide we mentioned about convex and concave interaction and I have darkened cam follower magnifier and darkened this and you can say this portion in contact with the roller follower is going to give us a convex shape. This is the convex shape. So interaction is convex as there is the some clearance moves from this point to this point. This convex shape will come in a contact with the concave shape that is shown over here. This is convex, this is concave. So, one cam roller, cam follower is generating convex as well as convex concave in one complete rotation.

We can shape or we can find out the radius of cam say initially this is a convex shape and after that as this radius is going to act. So, this will be concave shape radius. We can model cam-follower like that and interesting thing is that this at number of points we know cam is continuous phenomena. But, to analyse it we need to divide number of points. So, that we can find out the load, we can find out the radius a those points, those divisions and get the results in for convenience. We have divided in to 25 divisions. So, we are able to find out what are the 25 loads on discreet point. And what is the geometric parameter radius of the cam on this? And if I do proper numbering what we can say convex shape occurs or convex contact occurs from point 8 to 18. So, this is point 8 9 10 11 12 up to 18 if I keep follower on top of that 18 this is convex concave shape inter phase from point 1 to 7 the starting point suppose is here 1 to 7 that is a concave shape.
Similarly, 19 to 25 this is a convex shape, concave convex, concave inter phase and interesting thing is that from 7 to 8 it goes to transition. Similarly, 8 to 18 goes to transition and this transition is going to introduce sliding, is going to lose rolling motion and most of the sliding will be getting introduced because of the transformation of the convex to concave. We say this transition which is introducing sliding and that sliding is reducing the cam life. So, we should work on this. Can we reduce the sliding if it is possible? That will give us good results.

So, question comes we have pitted, the sliding is going to reduce the life. Then how sliding is reduced? Again same figure is shown as in the previous couple of slide figure shows yellow block subjected to reversible load. Explanation must be given why the load is dynamic and then the blue block is experiencing some shear strength which is a determinant or it is creating some problem. It is going to generate some sort of cracks; it is going to generate some sort of voids in a surface. But, not immediately may be after some cycles. Now, if there is a sliding introduced then what will happen? We need to have some sort of frictional force to push it because this force is much larger than rolling friction force.
So, we are introducing some additional force here in tangential direction and that tangential force is going to increase some $\tau_{\text{max}}$ value. It says that is not increasing the magnitude but, is in shifting also we can see maximum value here.

The maximum value has increased and is going close to the surface. If it is going closer to the surface then, removing piece of blue block is easier. So, it is doing both the things first it is giving high stress and it gives a very close high stress to the surface or near to the surface which will reduce the life in 2 fold or in 2 ways. If I assume total pitting life is $N_f$ number of cycles or $N_f$ when there was no crack non-cracking life that is $N_0$ crack initiated and after that there is a propagation crack is moving from sub-surface to surface $N_p$.

Now, let us see if the shear stress magnitude is increasing. We know very well $N_0$ will come down deduction in $N_0$. Further, if this maximum value is shifted towards the crack towards the surface that means crack is generated very near to the surface. Immediate effect will be there that means crack also going to reduce $N_p$ or we say that when sliding is coming into picture. It is reducing $N_0$, it is reducing $N_p$. So, overall life is getting reduced to demonstrate I will take some section of those blue blocks. We say there is no crack after $N_0$ cycles, there is some crack generated over here. We can find out and after $N_f$ cycles we are saying after this $N_f$ cycles are after $N_0$. That means when it reaches to $N_0$ counter again starts 1 to $N_f$ is not.
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In this case $N$ counter starts and 1 to $N_p$ comes this will be summation it will be $N_p$ plus $N_0$. The counter is not starting from here, it is starting from here it comes here. It is no starting from $N_p$ it is starting from $N_f$ the first cycle itself. If it is $N_p$ then we can say that after $N_0$ cycles again, restart the counter from one. Again this kind of failure will come if summation comes $N_0$ plus $N_p$. We can say after $N_f$ cycles first pit is going to be generated then there is a compromise on the surface. Rough surface comes, there is some sort of jumper mechanism or jumper phenomenon that is going to create more disturbances in smooth floor and that is going to generate further more number of impacts, more number of cracks because of impacting.

Now, if I go ahead with Hertzian theory or contact stress theory whatever we can get. Instead of 25, I have used few divisions so that it can be accommodated in one slide and we have figured out cam contact radius. However, follower cam contact radius is always constant. Follower radius is not changing. We assume that wear happens on the follower surface which is negligible compared to the follower radius and the normal load which is coming surface has been estimated based on force geometry. We can find out what is the maximum compressive stress, maximum compressive stresses which are estimated using Hertzian theory. We find that stresses are increasing, first decreasing, then increasing. It reaches to maximum value over here 453 mega Pascal and again it reduces, it reaches roughly to 473 mega Pascal.
So, we know very well the load is higher similarly, the stress is higher it is coming roughly to 473 mega Pascal again. After that it reduces and reaches to the minimum value which we have estimated at 0 degree. Same 0 and 360 degree, we know they vary much. This is one of the validation points. We say that 0 and the 360 should give the same result. We should whatever we may get the results it should complete one cycle. Now, as I mentioned earlier, the compressive stress will not initiate fatigue process but, the shear stresses which are associated with compressive stresses causes the crack formation and once the crack is and subjected to repeated loading, crack opening and closing will be continuous and finally, the fracture will occur or pit formation will occur and if the sliding is introduced pit formation will be much earlier. So, what I am going to conclude from this slide is Hertzian theory is not sufficient to give explanation for the pitting wear. First thing we need to find out what are the associated shear stresses which are generated at the sub surface or within the surface? In
addition to that, if this sliding is introduced how shear stress is going to move up? How is going to shift? How it is going to increase in magnitude? Also for this purpose we require a 3 d stress analysis. We say that when rolling and sliding both are present stresses due to normal and tangential loading need to be accounted.

So, load is not only the compressive load because imparted from the cam surface but, load also will be there because of the friction. So, stress value can be calculated something like that. We assume that cam and follower are at x and z plane this x axis and z axis and perpendicular that and length of the follower will be along the y direction. In that case, the stresses can be calculated. These stresses due to normal force, stresses due tangential force. That is why frictional force. Similarly, \( \sigma_z \) stress or normal stress in z direction will be due to normal force in z direction and compressive stresses due to tangential force why this no load is as such applied.

But because of the connectivity, because of the molecules are interconnected and there will be a poison ratio, finite poison ratio is generally point 2 to 0.3. Some stress will also be induced in y direction. It will not be without stresses. When it comes to shear stress we are talking about the shear stresses only at the x z because the stress is all over. But, this will be the maximum which we are trying to find out what will be the maximum value of this? Now, if in this case whatever the results we are going to show the coefficient of friction is 0.2 or we say whatever the normal force we are applying is getting multiplied with a 0.2 or overall force is 1.2 times the normal force. But, directions are different. This is along the z-direction; this will be along the x direction.

Simple 1.2 times calculation may not give all the results. We will be taking the vector taking the directions and we will calculate the force. If I do the overall analysis, present the results as function of cam angle. Only a few degrees, few results are presented. So, that these can be accommodated, easily contact radius has been repeated which was shown in the previous slides. Normal force we found the force which was shown earlier but, you can see the contact stresses. Initially it was roughly 218. Now, it is coming around 343. It is reaching to the 574 maximum. In previous slide, we have shown the maximum value as a 471 mega Pascal well accounting this 473 mega Pascal.
Now, accounting this forces what we are getting roughly 574 mega Pascal. In addition and 20 percentage of the stresses are increasing because of the force, because of the sliding, because of the coefficient of friction .2 which will be accounted. Now, we do not at least know exactly that what will be the friction. Will it be 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 and when the gross sliding is happening, what kind of lubricant is being utilized there. If we use that, two lubricants where ever sliding happens, we use either thick lubricant or solid lubricants then it may give better results. It may reduce coefficient of friction roughly .2. If you do not use solid lubricant there and we use only liquid lubricant the way the whole inter phase is getting lubricated then quite possible that coefficient of friction will be localized. That when we are shifting from convex to concave contact or concave to convex contact coefficient of friction will suddenly increase because this kind of cam follower are generally supplied with grease which is having a low energy grade which can easily be pumped. If it is easily pumped by using j grade 1 and 2 then it will not be very effective to keep the lubricant layer at that inter phase.

So, either it should be mixed, either some sort of molybdenum disulfide or some sort of solid lubricant and get the good results. Apart from that, now with this slide also shows the maximum normal stress. It does not show the tangential stress. It does not show the shear stress and in previous slide, we mentioned clearly that we should give more emphasis on the shear stress. We should not give more emphasis on normal stress. The question comes; do we have experimental data available with us? If experimental data are available with the shear
stresses then we can find out and we can compare the shear stresses and find out the shear stress and we compare it with the material stress. Fundamental rule says that if yield strength is some 500 mega Pascal shear strength will be around 250 mega Pascal 50 percentage of that.

But, it appears from this kind of surface fatigue phenomena. That stress is not very useful many times it gives wrong results. So, people have done extensive studies only on normalized normal forces introducing along with some sort of sliding which gives better results and this phenomena is common in cam-follower, cam follower mechanism and roller bearings and so that is why the results are available with us. Just only the normal force and normal force with the sliding or we say that normal force with pure rolling and normal force with the sliding.

We can utilize those data using the comparative equation results again it may not give 100 percent results but, it gives comparative results to us. So, what I was talking some material data available. These are cam materials. Mostly, they use grey cast iron or they use nodular cast iron with different hardness domain. Here we are showing this table as 4 column k is the constant, sc is the surface compressive strength particularly is number of cycles. As I mentioned is 10 is to 8 cycles, so this strength for 10 is to 8 cycles it is more like endurance strength of metals particularly in rolling in fatigue phenomena. In this case is the same thing but, endurance instead of talking of endurance, we shall talk about the only surface compressive strength.

Because, it will continuously vary, it will continuously decrease in the number of cycles. If I increase the number of cycles 10 is to 9, I am sure that this 14000 will reduce around 1400 or lesser than that. Similarly, there are 2 other constants lambda and zeta. However these 3 parameters are connected something like zeta minus 10 log 10 and is the number of cycles particularly it can be given in 10 is to 8 cycles 10 is to 6 cycles and there is a lambda.

So, there is a relation a comfort relation given to us. In other way, if we want to increase the life, if we are able to find out what is the value of k which is required? If I say I want cam life, cam life to be 10 is to 10 cycles. Now, after that we need to choose proper k proper zeta proper lambda. So, iterative scheme can be used to find out which result which material will give me appropriate results. Another is of course, is the table shows when we are introducing 9 percent sliding with rolling or we say 9 percentage energy is getting wasted in sliding. It is not imparting the rolling motion.
We can clearly here that whenever there is a 9 percentage sliding strength is reduced from 4900 it has reduced to 40 700 from 1 lakh 200, it has reduced from 1 lakh 200 it has reduced to 9400 fine. Similarly, this is reduced with addition of sliding wherever there is a sliding strength or surface strength is reduced. There is a correlation also available with this strength and k parameter. We say if this shear strength 10 is to 8 cycle is been introduced over here. We will be getting some value of k of course, E prime here says the effective young’s modulus and we are talking about 2 materials; material 1 has poisons ratio mu 1 and young’s modulus E 1 for material 2 is mu 2 and E 2. When we use 10 is to this surface strength for 10 to 8 cycles. We will get k values here and same k value will come over here.

\[ K = \frac{\pi}{E} \left( \text{max normal principal stress} \right)^2 \]
\[ \frac{1}{E'} = \left( \frac{1-v_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1-v_2^2}{E_2} \right) \]

So, that means this kind of two relations can be utilized to find out what will be the estimated life of cam follower mechanism. Now, if I find out maximum normal principal stress which we determined in previous slide something like this. If we are able to find out what is the maximum normal principal stress that stress can be utilized in this to find out what will be the value of k for a material? If I know the gray cast iron is utilized for our purpose our cam material is gray cast iron, I can find out or I can estimate maximum normal principal stress. From that we can find out the value of k. Once the k value is known; and for material zeta and lambda are known; I can find out what will be the life N in number of cycles and if we know the speed of rotation of that cam follower mechanism and how many hours it is
operating in a day. We can find out what will be life in number of days again. This will be only relative, it will not be absolute if you want to find out of the two, which material it is going to give you better results and how much better whether 50 percentage better results or 100 percent or more than that that we can choose proper material based on that.

Now, utilizing those parameters we can say when we are thinking a cam follower is running at the 60 RPM and we can find out what will be the life for the grey cast iron with c 20 which has lower hardness. It appears at this kind of mechanism or this kind of material will show very low life. It shows only 16.5 days much lower life in real sense. If sliding is reduced by applying proper lubricant we say instead of 9 percent sliding there is only 1 percent sliding or 2 percent sliding then this life will increase.

Now, if I change from this grey cast iron to this grey cast iron which has a solid lubricant phosphate coated the phosphate itself is acting as a solid lubricant layer. That means sliding or we say that coefficient of friction will reduce substantially. In that case, we are able to find the very high life. It comes roughly to 300,700 days which is substantially high life almost 10 years. Now, so that is good however when we are increasing the speed from 60 rotation per minute to 65 RPM. We are able to find that there is because rotation is increasing that is also increasing the sliding that is reducing the life from 16.5 days to the 11.8 days the life substantial life is reduced or we can say that is around 20. 2085 percent life is reduced.

What we are gaining the profit and the productivity is hardly 5 percent from 65 to 65 RPM 5 RPM is increased so we are not getting even 5 percent profit but, loss in the life is almost 28 0.5 percent substantially high. That means we will never recommend the increasing cam follower speed from 60 RPM to 65 RPM may be giving slight advantage on productivity point of view, life is compromised severely or loss is much on higher side.

Coming to the second material, we can say that it has more effect if the speed is increased from this 307 100 days speed is increased to 60 RPM number of days usual life is reduced roughly is coming to 203 100 days is much lesser than 50 percent and overall reduction in life is coming around 36.3 percent. Similarly, we use higher carbon percentage. So, that is the case when we use higher hardness also of course, we are removing the phosphate coating self-lubricated coating we can say that life is reduced in this case.
Similarly, for all other material; interesting thing is that nodular cast iron which has retaining ability or much better sliding performance is showing very high life. Of course, again this is hypothetical as I and that is not going to give. It is quite possible it can avoid the pitting factor. But, some other mechanism will come and fill this component, pitting failure can be avoided. But, mild wear removal of the wear cannot be after the dynamic process if the micron level particles have been removed from the surface clearance will continuously increase if the clearance is continuously increasing impact loading will continuously increase and that may cause some more problem.

So, this will be the only the hypothetical and we are saying in this case we are just considering only pitting failure. We are not assuming any other kind of failure. We are not talking about bristle value. We are not talking about adhesive failure or we are not talking about change in dimension. If there is a change in dimension, increase in clearance, this life also will be reduced, it is the wear cannot be higher. It cannot provide higher so in totally what we say that we this kind of mechanism, we do wear analysis. We can compare the material, what kind of load condition is going to give us, which solution do we need to reduce the load magnitude? Do we change the variation in the load? If yes, is that going to be advantageous?

Now, whatever we do change the dynamic load because of the rolling contact which has inherent load dynamic load generation. Then there is no point to design.
However but, we can say we can provide better lubricant. We can reduce the coefficient of friction. I have seen industry are applying now with the two lubricants they use high energy, a grade grease every 10, after every hours they lubricate the surface where the maximum pitting is occurring and they use additional grease just for the circulation purpose. So, that can take away the particles and lubricate those surfaces where the severe condition is not being imposed.

So, those things are there. We will end with this slide on the cam wear analysis and in addition we are finishing the module 3 which is a wear module. We will be starting next lecture onward the fourth module. That is the lubrication mechanism and lubricants. What kinds of lubricants are useful for us or for the machines; which we are planning to design or we are trying to maintain that mechanism and with this?