DIRECT STIFFNESS METHOD FOR ANALYSIS OF SKELETAL STRUCTURES (PART 2)

http://web.iitd.ac.in/~sbhalla/cvl756.html

Dr. Suresh Bhalla

Professor

Department of Civil Engineering Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

STATIC CONDENSATION: ANALOGY WITH MODIFIED SLOPE DEFLECTION EQUATIONS

In general, $M_{AB} = (2EI/L)[2\theta_1 + \theta_2]$

 $\theta_2 \neq 0$ Its effect is automatically included

 $M = (3EI/L)\theta_1$

----> The complete matrix may include d.o.f more than required

 \hat{m} θ_2

M

- Stiffness matrix K_{pp} can be condensed by eliminating the unwanted d.o.f
- Unwanted disps. are expressed in terms of prominent d.o.f

STATIC CONDENSATION









3) Very large structure to be analyzed on a small computer

METHOD OF SUBSTRUCTURES

Method of substructures means that instead of solving the entire structure in one go, we divide the structure into sub-parts, analyze individually, and then integrate, but without any approximation or loss of accuracy in final results



COMPUTATIONAL APPROACH



Conduced different vector

$$\begin{array}{c}
\begin{matrix}
u_{C_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\hline{k_{CC1}} \\
u_{C_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\hline{k_{CC1}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\vdots \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
u_{C} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
u_{C} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
u_{C} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
u_{C} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\hline{k_{C2}} \\
\hline{k_{C1}} \\
\hline{k_{C1$$

How to obtain Internal forces at common nodes??



ANALYSIS OF BUILDING FRAMES





GENERAL 3D ANALYSIS

- 1) Distribution of vertical and lateral loads is automatically taken care of.
- 2) Rigorous
- 3) Output very compact



Usual 3D analysis

Ignores presence of slab

Structural functions of floor system

- 1) Distribution of vertical loads to beams through bending.
- 2) Distribution of lateral loads by in plane action.
- 3) Compatibility condition

HOW DOES THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF SLAB AFFECT THE VERTICAL AND LATERAL LOAD ANALYSIS??



Symmetrical Building without slab



 Under vertical loads
 Under horizontal loads
 (Axial forces in beams, non-uniform distribution, special compatibility condition)

✓ Uniform translation✓ No rotation of floor

 Forces will be distributed in proportion to stiffness of frames

Symmetrical Building with slab

What will happen in above two cases if the force "F" were acting unsymmetrically



3D ANALYSIS TAKING RIGIDY OF FLOOR SLAB INTO ACCOUNT

ASSUMPTIONS

- Slab is monolithic with beam and cols.
- All joints (unrestrained joints) lie on floor slabs HQW??
 - **4** Slab sufficiently thick so that rigid diaphragm action results

00 We

6 All other assumption of <u>direct stiffness approach</u>

Basically need the translation and rotation at a reference point

77

Valid for RC structures with floor slab (Not valid for steel frames with sheet based roof)

 Implications:
 Points lying on slab undergo rigid body translation and rotation.

2 Beams cannot have any bending in plane of slab.

3 No axial deformation in beams.

Formulations will implement (1) to (3)

NUMBERING APPROACH TO TAKE CARE OF SLAB ACTION



NUMBERING APPROACH TO TAKE CARE OF SLAB ACTION



Choice of references O_1 and O_2 is arbitrary...All displacements and forces shall be transformed to this point...

TOTAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM OF STRUCTURE





All displacements and forces corresponding to floor degrees of freedom need to be transformed to the floor reference point O₁

O₁ should not be confused as the centre of rotation of the floor



DISPLACEMENTS OF REFERENCE PONT ". O₁" Joint j Ref. O₁

- **x dir** $D_{1j} = D_1^* \gamma_j \phi$ Similar transformations
- **y dir** $D_{2j} = D_2^* + X_j \phi$ he member

Rotation (Z) $D_{6j} = \phi = D_6^*$



TRANSFORMATION OF DISPLACEMENTS FOR BEAM



BEAM MEMBER FORCE TRANSFORMATION



TRANSFORMATION OF FORCES FOR BEAM



 F_{10} F_{11}

RIGID BODY MOVEMENT FOR BEAMS

 Δ_2

B

 $M_{AB} = 2EI/L(2\theta_A + \theta_B - 3\Delta/L) ; \Delta = \theta L$

 Δ

1) No axial force

Α

=0

2) No lateral bending moment

76

 θ



IMPLICATIONS ON BEAM



TRANSFORMATION FOR COLUMNS

End points lie on different floors....hence, unlike beams, they shall be subjected to biaxial moments in addition to axial forces

Member end forces and displacements need to be transformed to the reference points.

Κ

COLUMN IS A VERTICAL MEMBER....WHAT IS UNIQUE IN TRANFORMATION????

IMPLICATIONS ON COLUMS



TRANSFORMATION FOR DIRECT JOINT LOADS







IMPLICATIONS ON SOLUTION PROCEDURE





This approach SHALL NOT BE effective/efficient

WHY???

Very large bandwidth.....hence computation not effective



To take advantage of banded nature of K_{JJ} K(ad not K_{PP}), we must use <u>CONDENSATION</u>, i.e. condense the joint degrees of freedom into floor degrees of freedom



Can we eliminate u_{J} from above equations? $u_{J} = K_{JJ}^{-1} (P^{*}_{J} - K_{JF}u_{F})$ $P^{*}_{F} = K_{FJ}K_{JJ}^{-1} (P^{*}_{J} - K_{JF}u_{F}) + K_{FF}u_{FF}$ ($P^{*}_{F} - K_{FJ}K_{JJ}^{-1}P^{*}_{J}$) = $(K_{FF} - K_{FJ}K_{JJ}^{-1}K_{JF}) u_{F}$ for every $P_{F} * * = K^{*}_{FF} U_{FF}$

The whole matrix is condensed in terms of the horizontal degrees of freedom of structure. Suitable for dynamic analysis of structure.

INVERSE OF K_{JJ}





SUMMARY: SOLUTION APPROACH



HOW TO OBTAIN INVERSE OF K_{JJ}

Multiply a matrix with its inverse.....as an example

In order to get the ith column of the inverse, solve the following equation using Cholesky's approach for unknowns X



USE OF STANDARD SOFTWARE TO RIGOROUSLY CONSIDER SLAB ACTION



USE OF STANDARD SOFTWARE TO RIGOROUSLY CONSIDER SLAB ACTION

OPTION 1: INCLUDE PLATE ELEMENT IN ANALYSIS



USE OF STANDARD SOFTWARE TO RIGOROUSLY CONSIDER SLAB ACTION

OPTION 2: ADD LINK ELEMENTS AS HORIZONTAL BRACES IN ALL BAYS (ASSIGN HIGH STIFFNESS)



Link elements to have very high stiffness as compared to beams