## ELL 788 Computational Perception & Cognition

#### Module 8

#### Audio and Multimodal Attention

# Audio Scene Analysis

- Two-stage process
  - <u>Segmentation</u>: decomposition to time-frequency segments
  - Grouping and segregation: based on perceived source
    - Auditory cues: feature (pitch, loudness ...), spatial (location, trajectory)
    - Cross-modal cues (Visual)
- Masking
  - <u>Energy masking</u>: (Lower level process)
    - Competing sounds interfereing in frequency and in time
  - Information masking: (Higher level process)
    - Difficulties to detect a target that cannot be explained with energy masking

# Grouping (sreaming)

- Primitive grouping (Bottom-up: data driven)
  - Gestalt principle of perceptual organization
    - Frequency / Temporal proximity
    - Good continuation: Slowly varying frequency
    - **Common fate**: *Common start and stop time, amplitude / frequency modulation*
- Schema-based grouping (Top-down: knowledge-driven)
  - *E.g. human word recognition*
  - A schema is activated by adequate sensory information regarding one particular regularity in environment
  - Scemas can activate or suppress other schemas

### Detection vs. Identification of sound

- <u>Detection</u>: asserting the presence
  - Signal to noise ratio
  - Saliency: Contextual incongruency with background
- Identification: associating a meaning
  - Familiarity (knowledge) of the listener
  - Physical components (unique features)
    - Standard deviation of the spectrum
    - Number of bursts or peaks
  - Information content
    - Not readily expected within a given environment

## Audio attention

- Selective reception of an audio group (stream)
  - Perceived the same source
- Multiple cues
  - Auditory feature cues, Auditory spatial cues
  - Other cues
- Involuntary: A sudden gunshot (saliency-driven)
- Voluntary: Cocktail party effect (task-driven)
  - location, lip-reading, mean pitch differences, different speaking speeds, male/female speaking voices, distinctive accents

## **Computational models**

- Studied more in visual domain
- Analogies between auditory and visual perception established in neuroscience literature
- Common models have been proposed for audio and visual domains
- Bottom-up (saliency-driven) vs. Top-down (task-driven)
  - Attention on specific sound pattern (what is being said?)
  - Attention on specific sound source(s) (a bird singing amidst noise)
  - Attention on direction of sound (where that loud bang came from?)

## Experimental methods

- Psychological experiments
  - Users made to listen tones amidst white background noise
  - Originating at different spatial locations
  - Are asked to identify the tone listened
  - Accuracy / response time recorded
- Neurological experiments
  - Measure brain activities using EEG
  - Identify / characterize activations

## Some observations

- Both short and long tones on a noisy background are salient
- Also the gaps (absence of a tone)
- Long tones / gaps accumulate more saliency than short tones
- A time gap (~ 1 1.5 s) between two tones leads to faster response
- Temporally modulated tones are more salient than stationary tones
- In a sequence of two closely spaced tones (within critical band), the second is less salient
- We can selectively attend to a piece of conversation when there are many overlapping conversations (cocktail party effect)
- In a noisy environment, people respond when their own names are uttered in an unattented stream

#### <u>Audio Saliency Model</u>

Kalini & Narayanan

#### Audio-scene

- 20 ms frames; shifted by 10 ms
- 128 filter-banks
- STFT used to compute spectogram <u>Feature extraction</u>
- 8 features
  - > 1 each for *I*, *F* and *T*
  - > 2 for  $O(\theta) = 0.45^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}$
  - > 3 for *P*
- 8-level Gaussian pyramids

(σ=1..8)

(*if time duration* > 1.28 s) ≻ 1:1 – 1:128

#### **Center-Surround differences**

$$\mathcal{M}(c,s) = |\mathcal{M}(c) \ominus \mathcal{M}(s)|, \quad \mathcal{M}\epsilon\{I, F, T, O_{\theta}, P\}.$$

 $c=\{2,3,4\}, s=c+\delta \text{ with } \delta \epsilon\{3,4\}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  48 (6 x 8) feature maps



## Gist features

- A low resolution representation of the feature maps for quick understanding of the audio scene
- The feature-maps are divided into  $m \ge n$  grids (m = 4, n = 5)
- Gist feature vectors are computed as averages in each cell of the grid

$$G_i^{k,l} = \frac{mn}{vh} \sum_{u=\frac{kv}{n}}^{\frac{(k+1)v}{n}-1} \sum_{z=\frac{lh}{m}}^{\frac{(l+1)h}{n}-1} \mathcal{M}_i(u,z), \text{ for}$$
$$k = \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad l = \{0, \dots, m-1\}$$

$$v =$$
 width  
 $h =$  height of the cells  
 $i =$  feature map index {1 .. 48}

- 20 (4 x 5) gist values for each of 48 feature maps
- Combined; PCA used for dimensionality reduction
- $\rightarrow$  Auditory gist feature  $F = \{f_i\}, i = 1 \dots d$

Saliency in terms of audio features

## Task dependent biasing of auditory cues

- Given a task
  - Enhance specific dimensions of the gist feature that are related to the task
  - Attenuate specific dimensions of the gist feature that are not related to the task
- Feature dimensions (to enhance / attenuate) are learnt with supervised training

## Example in speech understanding

- An *audio scene* refers to utterance of a syllable
  - Probability (prominence) of a syllable uttered can be found from the gist features for an audio scene, p ( $c_i | F$ ),  $i = \{0|1\}$
- Lexical knowledge comes to play
  - The probabilities for sequences of utterings of the syllabi are learnt
    - Bi-gram / Tri-gram models
- Use a probabilistic model to adjust gist feature dimension weights
  - Tune the attention to the next expected syllabil depending on the previous syllabil uttered

## Multimodal attention model (Audio + Visual)

[Ruesch][Schauerte]





iCub (INRIA) Six DoF Ego-sphere  $(\theta, \phi)$ : Fixed with respect to the torso Reference does not change unless the robot moves Hexagonal or pentagonal cells

Audio-visual saliency of a cell on the ego-sphere determines where the robot should look at (Bottom-up saliency model)

## Schematic overview



# Saliency features

- Visual saliency: similar to Itti, et al.
  - Intensity, color and orientations
  - ( $\theta$ , $\phi$ ) representation mapped to (x,y)
    - There is some distortion
- Audio saliency
  - STFT used to create spectogram for each "ear"
  - Inter-aural Time Difference (ITD) and Inter-aural Spectral Difference (ISD) used to for locating sound
    - Design of pinna (outer "ear") for creating ISD
  - Spatio-temporal clustering to eliminate outliers (noise)
  - Saliency determined based on "surprise element" [Schauerte]

*Computed with respect to current head-orientation of the robot* 

## Surprise Element (Audio)

Following Itti & Baldi (2005)

Spectogram:  $G(t, \omega) = |STFT(t, \omega)|^2$ 

Assume that  $G(t, \omega)$  is caused by a GMM with parameters g

Prior probability : $P_{Prior}^{\omega} = P(g|G(t-1,\omega), G(t-2,\omega), \dots G(t-N,\omega))$ Posterior probability : $P_{Posterior}^{\omega} = P(g|G(t,\omega), G(t-1,\omega), \dots G(t-N,\omega))$ Surprise element : $S_A(t,\omega) = D_{KL}(P_{Posterior}^{\omega}, P_{Prior}^{\omega})$ 

 $D_{_{KL}}$  is the Kullback Leibler Divergence between two GMMs

Overall audio surprise element :

$$S_{A}(t) = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} S_{A}(t, \omega)$$

# Ego-centric saliency determination

### **Basic steps**

- 1.Convert stimulus orientation to torso-based, head-centered coordinates using head kinematics,
- 2.Represent orientation in spherical coordinates, project stimulus (saliency) intensity onto modality specific rectangular egocentric map,
- 3.Aggregate multimodal sensory information.

# Multimodal saliency aggregation

- Project saliencies from (θ,φ) coordinates to (x,y) coordinates for visualization
  - > There is some distortion

- Take union of visual and audio saliencies  $S(\theta,\phi;t) = max(S_V(\theta,\phi;t),S_A(\theta,\phi;t))$ 
  - Proto-object regions determined by analysis of isophote curvatures
    - Isophote = contours of equal saliencies on the saliency map
    - Center of the proto-object regions  $(\theta_0, \phi_0)$  are considered as proto-object locations





## Attention and exploration

- The FoA goes to the proto-object region with highest saliency
- Habituation map
  - Initialized to zero
  - > Updated recursively according to a Gaussian weighing function that favors the regions closer to the current FoA

$$H(\theta,\phi;t) = (1-d_H)H(\theta,\phi;t-1) + d_hG_h(\theta-\theta_0,\phi-\phi_0;t)$$

 $\sigma \approx 6^{\circ}$ 

- While attending to a salient point, the Habituation Map at that location will asymptotically tend to 1
  - > Rate of convergence depends on  $d_h \in [0,1]$
- Whenever the habituation value exceeds a predefined level, the system becomes attracted to novel salient points.

#### Inhibition map

- Initialized to 1
- When the habituation of current FoA ( $\theta_0, \phi_0$ ) exceeds a threshold  $t_h =$

0.85

- > the Inhibition Map is modified by adding a scaled Gaussian function,  $G_a$ ,
- > with amplitude -1, centered at FoA ( $\theta_0, \phi_0$ ) and
- > variance  $\sigma \approx 6^{\circ}$
- The resulting effect is that  $G_a$  adds a smooth "cavity" at  $(\theta_0, \phi_0)$  in the inhibition map

 $A(\theta,\phi;t) = (1-d_a)A(\theta,\phi;t-1) + d_aG_a(\theta-\theta_{0,\phi}-\phi_{0,\phi})$ 

- For attention selection
  - > Multiply:  $S(\theta,\phi;t) \times A(\theta,\phi;t)$
  - Combine instantaneous saliency and the memory of recently attended locations)

## References

- Wrigley (2000). A model of auditory attention
- Kayser, et al. (2005). Mechanisms for Allocating Auditory Attention ...
- Kalinli & Narayanan (2009). Prominence Detection Using Auditory Attention Cues and Task-Dependent High Level Information
- Oldoni, et al (2013). A computational model of auditory attention...
- Ruesch, et al. Multimodal Saliency-Based Bottom-Up Attention ...
- Schauerte, et al. Multimodal Saliency-based Attention for Object-based Scene Analysis ...
- Itti & Baldi (2005). Bayesian Surprise Attracts Human Attention (Visual)
- Lichtenauer, et al. Isophote Properties as Features for Object Detection