LINEAR REGRESSION ANALYSIS

In this Assignment we got an opportunity to Understand Supervised Learning of Machine Language. And in this assignment I have taken couple of data sets one for Regression Analysis and the other for Classification.

Linear Regression Analysis:

The Dataset was downloaded from Internet and has been enclosed in the webpage for peers to experiment on.

The dataset taken for Linear Regression Assignment consists of data related to parameters of Used Cars. The data set contains Used Car Price, Car brands, year of manufacturing, engine volume and km run.

We want to predict the price of the used car on the basis of available data.

Potential 1st Regressor Variable: Brand of the Car. We have AUDI, BMW, Benz, Skoda, Duster & python. In general we know, BMW is expensive than Skoda or Duster or maruthi..

Potential 2nd Regressor Variable: km Driven. More km run/driven car is relatively cheaper than the lesser driven car.

Potential 3rd Regressor Variable: Engine Volume. More the engine volume the cost will be higher

Potential 4th Regressor Variable: Year of manufacturing. Older the car Cheaper the price.

With this basis we start looking over training the model and predict the price of the car using Matlab Regression Analysis & also performed the same with Excel & Python.

Let's Start doing it.

The data used was already screened and null elements are removed to keep data intact. This data is imported on to excel.

At first, scatter plot was done using Excel to visualize the linearity of data, Cost of the Used car vs km run.



Similarly, the other variables are presented here.



And, Year of Manufacturing vs Cost in INR



These are not linear enough to perform Linear regression on these data.



As the scatter plot are exponential, Log transformation is performed over these plots.





The data is more linearised in the Log transformed.

Now its time to check no endogeneity exception, by checking residuals with independent X.

And we can check normality and homoscedasticity, as log transformation is performed, homoscedasticity is also performed. And No autocorrelation is also established as these are not time series. Finally to check multicollinearity shall be checked by performing correlation check with probable combinations of variables.

Correlations			
	Km	Year of	Engine
	Driven	Manufacturing	volume
Km Driven	1	-0.50	-0.03
Year of			
Manufacturing	-0.50	1	0.04
Engine volume	-0.04	0.03	1

correlations

The reveals that they are far from strong.

Now, we can perform Linear Regression for the set of variables.

At first,

Log of Cost is checked with Log of km run.

Regression analysis

Log of Cost and log km Run

SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistic	s				
Multiple R	0.52				
R Square	0.27				
Adjusted R Square	0.27				
Standard Error	0.35				
Observations	4003.00				
ANOVA					
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	1.00	178.24	178.24	1488.87	0.000
Residual	4001.00	478.98	0.12		
Total	4002.00	657.22			
	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value	
Intercept	4.77	0.02	258.80	0.000	
log Km	-0.34	0.01	-38.59	0.000	

The model is significant as F is 0.00 and also the log of km run and Intercept. And, Adjusted R-Square is 0.27 only, which is not very perfect model.



Last option left is comparison with Year.

Regression analysis (km Run Check)

Log of Cost and Year of manufacturing

SUMMARY OUTPUT								
Regression Stat	istics							
Multiple R	0.74733341							
R Square	0.55850722							
Adjusted R Square	0.55838369							
Standard Error	0.27275842							
Observations	3576							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	ignificance	F		
Regression	1	336.4	336.4	4521.2626	0			
Residual	3574	265.9	0.074					
Total	3575	602.3						
	Coefficients	ndard E	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	ower 95.0%	1pper 95.0%
Intercept	-84.529948	1.344	-62.87	0	-87.1658	-81.894	-87.1658	-81.894
Year	0.04499093	7E-04	67.24	0	0.043679	0.046303	0.043679	0.046303

Here R2 is 56% which is very remarkable relationship.

Now it is time to take all three variables into the account, and regression is performed.

SUMMARY OUTPUT								
Regression Stati	istics							
Multiple R	0.8753861							
R Square	0.76630082							
Adjusted R Square	0.76610455							
Standard Error	0.19850274							
Observations	3576							
ANOVA								
	df	SS	MS	F	ignificance	F		
Regression	3	461.5	153.8	3904.2023	0			
Residual	3572	140.7	0.039					
Total	3575	602.3						
	Coefficients	ndard E	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	ower 95.0%	1pper 95.0%
Intercept	-71.2605046	1.147	-62.13	0	-73.5092	-69.0118	-73.5092	-69.0118
log of km run	-0.12333625	0.006	-20.29	9.714E-87	-0.13525	-0.11142	-0.13525	-0.11142
Vol of Engine	0.17725103	0.003	52.02	0	0.17057	0.183932	0.17057	0.183932
Year	0.03848987	6E-04	68.39	0	0.037386	0.039593	0.037386	0.039593

The adjusted R square is 77% for the three variables. Almost it is the stronger model.

Now, we are including categorical variable of Brand names with binary coding.

In excel, it is done by creating a dummy variable and assigning 0 &1 to all variables except one of the set. This is done to avoid introducing of multicollinearity model.

istics							
0.89326114							
0.79791547							
0.79746224							
0.18471774							
3576							
df	SS	MS	F	ignificance	F		
8	480.6	60.07	1760.5037	0			
3567	121.7	0.034					
3575	602.3						
Coefficients	ndard E	t Stat	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	ower 95.0%	pper 95.0%
-76.349861	1.097	-69.62	0	-78.5001	-74.1997	-78.5001	-74.1997
-0.10778739	0.006	-18.9	5.092E-76	-0.11897	-0.09661	-0.11897	-0.09661
0.13939054	0.004	38.15	2.18E-267	0.132227	0.146554	0.132227	0.146554
0.04101346	5E-04	76.17	0	0.039958	0.042069	0.039958	0.042069
0.03770818	0.012	3.231	0.0012437	0.014828	0.060588	0.014828	0.060588
0.10836499	0.01	10.65	4.497E-26	0.088407	0.128323	0.088407	0.128323
0.10349928	0.01	10.37	7.355E-25	0.083938	0.123061	0.083938	0.123061
-0.16038924	0.011	-14.68	2.013E-47	-0.18181	-0.13897	-0.18181	-0.13897
0.0405992	0.011	3.798	0.0001485	0.019639	0.061559	0.019639	0.061559
	istics 0.89326114 0.79791547 0.79746224 0.18471774 3576 <i>df</i> <i>df</i> <i>8</i> 3567 3575 <i>Coefficients</i> -76.349861 -0.10778739 0.13939054 0.04101346 0.03770818 0.10836499 0.10349928 -0.16038924 0.0405992	Image Image istics Image 0.89326114 Image 0.79791547 Image 0.79746224 Image 0.18471774 Image 0.01 Image 0.01 Image 0.103770818 Image 0.10349928 Image 0.10349928 Image 0.10349924 Image	Initial strics Initial strick 0.89326114 Initial strick 0.79791547 Initial strick 0.79791547 Initial strick 0.79746224 Initial strick 0.79746224 Initial strick 0.18471774 Initial strick 0.01 Initial strick	InterpretationInterpretationInterpretationisticsInterpretationInterpretation0.89326114InterpretationInterpretation0.79791547InterpretationInterpretation0.79746224InterpretationInterpretation0.79746224InterpretationInterpretation0.18471774InterpretationInterpretation0.18471774InterpretationInterpretation0.18471774InterpretationInterpretation0.18471774InterpretationInterpretation0.18471774InterpretationInterpretationdfSSMSFdfSSMSFdfSSMSF121.70.034Interpretation3575602.3Interpretation3575602.3InterpretationCoefficientsInterpretationInterpretationinterpretationInterpretationInterpretation0.1037708180.00138.15Interpretation0.1033499280.011InterpretationInterpretation0.103499280.011InterpretationInterpretation0.04059920.0113.7980.0001485	Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.89326114Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.79791547Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.79746224Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.18471774Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.18471774Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.18471774Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.18471774Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.0133567Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.013339054Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.013339054Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.013349928Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact istics0.010349928Interfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact isticsInterfact	IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexisticsIndexIndexIndexIndexIndex0.89326114IndexIndexIndexIndexIndex0.79791547IndexIndexIndexIndexIndex0.79746224IndexIndexIndexIndexIndex0.18471774IndexIndexIndexIndexIndex3576IndexIndexIndexIndexIndex3576IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexdfSSMSFInficanceIndexdfSSMSInferosofIndexIndex3576IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexdfSSMSFInficanceIndexfdfSSMSInferosofIndexIndex3575602.3IndexIndexIndexIndexindexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexforeificientsIndexInferosofIndexIndexIndexindexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexIndexindex	Image: stricsImage:

The result of regression is as follows.

Here, the model is significant and Adjusted R square is 80% which shows the very rigid model and very high explanatory model.

And even, each variable included in model is significant.

The model is,

Log of Cost = $-76.35 - 0.108 \times \log$ of Km run + 0.139 x Vol of Engine + 0.041 x Year of manufacturing + 0.038 x Audi + 0.108 x BMW + 0.103 x Benz - 0.160 x Duster + 0.041 x skoda dummies.

Change of Log of cost = 0.139 for Change volume of engine. Therefore, Log of Cost1 – log of cost2 = 0.139Exponential of (log of cost1/cost2)= $e^{0.139}$ Cost1/Cost2 = 1.139Cost1 = 1.139 times Cost2

Log of km run coefficient -0.108 represents, that every increase in log of km run, then the log of cost will decrease by 0.108. Similarly, each increase in engine volume the log of cost will be increased by 0.139.

If all dummies are null, then,

Log of Cost = $-76.35 - 0.108 \times \log of Km run + 0.139 \times Vol of Engine + 0.041 \times Year of manufacturing$

Which is the cost of the car Maruthi, which is the benchmark considered in the analysis.

All the brands of the cars are evaluated keeping Maruthi as a benchmark brand. This shows,

That,

BMW is 11% higher than Maruthi,

Benz is 10% higher than Maruthi,

Audi is 3.8 % higher than Maruthi,

Skoda is 4% higher than Maruthi,

Duster is 16% lower cost than Maruthi.

This is how the linear regression helps in understanding combination of things in a better way.

Now the same problem is attempted with Matlab.

In Matlab, using the regression app, the linear regression are performed at ease. For the same logarithmic data set, we have performed Linear Regression and images and results are presented here. Original response plot,







Response Plot of log of Cost vs Car brands, with actual and predicted data representation.



Predicted vs. Actual Response plots.



And the Linear coefficients are

	1 2 3		4	
	Estimate	SE	tStat	pValue
1 (Intercept)	-76.2926	1.0954	-69.6492	0
2 UsedCarBrands_BMW	0.0707	0.0121	5.8200	6.4051e-09
3 UsedCarBrands_Benz	0.0658	0.0119	5.5297	3.4374e-08
4 UsedCarBrands_Duster	-0.1984	0.0136	-14.6146	5.0528e-47
5 UsedCarBrands_Skoda	0.0027	0.0128	0.2127	0.8316
6 UsedCarBrands_maruthi	-0.0379	0.0117	-3.2493	0.0012
7 logOfKmRun	-0.1078	0.0057	-18.9022	5.0552e-76
8 VolOfEngine	0.1393	0.0037	38.1287	3.9688e-267
9 Year	0.0410	5.3838e-04	76.1614	0

trainedModel5.LinearModel.Coefficients

Intercept, log of km run, vol of engine and everything is in-line with the Excel model.

Further, the same problem have been checked with python using Google Colab and the same has been enclosed in the assignment webpage and all three way of calculation is in conformance with each other.