

A deterministic construction of 1-FT-BFS

1 Definition and Notations

Definition 1. A r -FT-BFS for a directed/undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a designated source $s \in V$ is a subgraph $H = (V, E_H \subseteq E)$ satisfying: for any set $F \subseteq E$ of r edges and any vertex $u \in V$,

$$\text{dist}_{H \setminus F}(s, u) = \text{dist}_{G \setminus F}(s, u).$$

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ on $n = |V|$ vertices and $m = |E|$ edges, and a source vertex $s \in V$, the following notations will be used.

- T : A shortest path tree of G rooted at s .
- $p(v)$: Parent of vertex v in T .
- $T[a, b]$: The unique tree-path from a to b in T .
- $T[a, b)$: The path $T[a, b] \setminus \{b\}$.
- $T(a, b)$: The path $T[a, b] \setminus \{a, b\}$.
- $P[a, b]$: The sub-path of path P lying between vertices a, b , assuming a precedes b on P .
- $P[a, b)$: The path $P[a, b] \setminus \{b\}$.
- $\text{LAST}_e(P)$: The last edge on path P .
- $P :: Q$: The path formed by concatenating paths P and Q in G . Here it is assumed that the last vertex of P is the same as the first vertex of Q .
- $x <_P y$: Representation of the information that x is a strict predecessor of y in P .

We will use the following theorem in our construction. (The theorem can be easily proved using induction).

Theorem 1. Let $E_0 \subseteq E(G)$ be a set satisfying following: For each $w \in V(G)$ and each $F \subseteq E(G)$ of size r satisfying $\text{dist}_{G \setminus F}(s, w) \neq \infty$, there is a $s - w$ shortest path in $G \setminus F$ whose last edge lies in E_0 .

Then $G_0 = (V, E_0)$ is a r -FT-BFS of G .

2 Construction of 1-FT-BFS

For each vertex $v \in V$, we will provide construction of a set $\mathcal{E}_v$ of edges incident to v of size $O(\sqrt{n})$ such that the graph $H = (V, \bigcup_{v \in V} \mathcal{E}_v)$ is a 1-FT-BFS of G .

It suffices for us to focus on a fix vertex $v \in V$.

For each ancestor w of v in T , let $Q_{w,v}$ denote a $w - v$ shortest path in $G \setminus (T[s, w) \cup T(w, v))$, if it exists. We can “ensure” that the paths $Q_{w,v}$ ’s satisfy the following Convergence property:

Convergence Property. For any two ancestors w_1 and w_2 of v in T , if $Q_{w_1,v}$ and $Q_{w_2,v}$ intersect at a vertex z , then the segments $Q_{w_1,v}[z, v]$ and $Q_{w_2,v}[z, v]$ are identical.

Our set $\mathcal{E}_v$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}_v = \{(p(v), v)\} \cup \{\text{LAST}_e(Q_{w,v}) \mid w \in T[s, v]\}$$

Lemma 1. $|\mathcal{E}_v| = O(\sqrt{n})$.

Proof. Let $w_1, \dots, w_t$ be distinct ancestors of v satisfying $\text{LAST}_e(Q_{w_i,v}) \neq \text{LAST}_e(Q_{w_j,v})$, for $i \neq j$. Without loss of generality assume $w_t <_{T[s,v]} w_{t-1} <_{T[s,v]} \dots <_{T[s,v]} w_1$. By Convergence property, for distinct indices i and j , the only common vertex between paths $Q_{w_i,v}$ and $Q_{w_j,v}$ is v . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} n - 1 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^t \text{No. of vertices in } Q_{w_i,v} - 1 \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^t d_G(w_i, v) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^t i \\ &= \Omega(t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $t = O(\sqrt{n})$. □

Lemma 2. For each $v \in V(G)$ and $e = (x, y) \in E(G)$, there is a $s - v$ shortest path in $G \setminus e$ whose last edge lies in $\mathcal{E}_v$.

Proof. If $e \notin T[s, v]$, then $T[s, v]$ is a $s - v$ shortest-path in $G \setminus e$, and last edge of it trivially lies in $\mathcal{E}_v$. This is because all edges of T lie in H . So let us focus on non-trivial scenario that $e \in T[s, v]$. Let $P_{e,v}$ be $s - v$ shortest path in $G \setminus e$. Let w be last node in $P_{e,v}$ that is an ancestor of v in T .

Case 1: $w \in T[y, v]$ (i.e. w is below the failure $e = (x, y)$).

In such a case $P_{e,v}[s, w] :: T[w, v]$ is also a $s - v$ shortest path in $G \setminus e$, and the last edge on this path is $(p(v), v)$ which trivially lies in $\mathcal{E}_v$.

Case 2: $w \in T[s, x]$ (i.e. w is above the failure $e = (x, y)$).

In such a case $P_{e,v}[s, w] :: Q_{w,v}$ is also a $s - v$ shortest path in $G \setminus e$, since

1. $Q_{w,v}$ is a $w - v$ shortest path in $G \setminus (T[s, w] \cup T(w, v))$; and
2. $P_{e,v}[w, v]$ is some $w - v$ path in $G \setminus (T[s, w] \cup T(w, v))$

The last edge of this path also lies in $\mathcal{E}_v$. □

The number of edges in H is $\sum_{v \in V} |\mathcal{E}_v|$ which by Lemma 1 is $O(n\sqrt{n})$. The correctness of H follows by Lemma 2 and Theorem 1.