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Introducing Perturbation: As you will recall the method for determining the stability of an equilibrium point $(\bar{S}, \bar{I})$ is to introduce small perturbation and see what happens. These perturbation will not be constants-they can change with time. We are adding $\epsilon$ to $\bar{S}, \delta$ to $\bar{I}$, and substitute these in to ODEs

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\bar{S}+\epsilon \\
I & =\bar{I}+\delta \\
\dot{S} & =\dot{\bar{S}}+\dot{\epsilon} \\
\dot{S} & =[b-a(\bar{S}+\epsilon)](\bar{I}+\delta) \\
\dot{\epsilon} & =(b-a \bar{S}) \bar{I}+(-a \bar{I}) \epsilon+(b-a \bar{S}) \delta-a \epsilon \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

after ignoring some terms

$$
\dot{\epsilon} \approx(-a \bar{I}) \epsilon+(b-a \bar{S}) \delta
$$

Similarly we can drive

$$
\dot{\delta} \approx(a \bar{I}) \epsilon+(a \bar{S}-b) \delta
$$

We can write it in a matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\epsilon} \\
\dot{\delta}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-a \bar{I} & b-a \bar{S} \\
a \bar{I} & a \bar{S}-b
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon \\
\delta
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now how to solve this system of ODEs?. Looking at $(\bar{S}, \bar{I})=(N, 0)$ for both cases

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\epsilon} \\
\dot{\delta}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b-a N \\
0 & a N-b
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\epsilon \\
\delta
\end{array}\right]
$$

We will solve this system of ODEs by reducing it into eigenvalues problem.

Let $\epsilon=A e^{\lambda t}$ and $\delta=B e^{\lambda t}$. If we let $x(t)=\left[\begin{array}{l}\epsilon \\ \delta\end{array}\right]$ and $v=\left[\begin{array}{l}A \\ B\end{array}\right]$, then $x(t)=e^{\lambda t} v$ so that we can rewrite the above matrix equation as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
x \dot{(t}) & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b-a N \\
0 & a N-b
\end{array}\right] x(t)  \tag{1}\\
\lambda e^{\lambda t} v & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & b-a N \\
0 & a N-b
\end{array}\right] e^{\lambda t} v
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v \neq 0$ so we have the following eigenvalue problem:

$$
\lambda v=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b-a N  \tag{3}\\
0 & a N-b
\end{array}\right] v
$$

we get two eigenvalues $\lambda=0, a N-b$ Thus we have the solution correspond to $\lambda=0$

$$
x(t)=e^{0} v
$$

(4)

$$
=n\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $n$ is any real number. Similarly solution correspond to
$\lambda=a N-b$ is

$$
x(t)=e^{(a N-b) t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1  \tag{5}\\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

For case $1 a N-b>0$, so $e^{(a N-b) t}$ increases as time increases-
we get two eigenvalues $\lambda=0, a N-b$ Thus we have the solution correspond to $\lambda=0$

$$
x(t)=e^{0} v
$$

$$
=n\left[\begin{array}{l}
1  \tag{6}\\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $n$ is any real number. Similarly solution correspond to
$\lambda=a N-b$ is

$$
x(t)=e^{(a N-b) t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1  \tag{7}\\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

For case $1 a N-b>0$, so $e^{(a N-b) t}$ increases as time increases-thus $(N, 0)$ is an unstable equilibrium point.

For case $2 a N-b<0$, so $e^{(a N-b) t}$ decreases as time increases

For case $2 a N-b<0$, so $e^{(a N-b) t}$ decreases as time increases so point $(N, 0)$ is stable equilibrium point.

For case $2 a N-b<0$, so $e^{(a N-b) t}$ decreases as time increases so point $(N, 0)$ is stable equilibrium point. For case 1 , now we will have the equilibrium point $(\rho, N-\rho)$ to look at. Now we get two eigenvalues
$\lambda=0, b-a N$. So solution correspond to $\lambda=0$ is $x(t)=n\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. So
solution correspond to $\lambda=b-a N$ is $x(t)=e^{(b-a N) t} n\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$.

For case $2 a N-b<0$, so $e^{(a N-b) t}$ decreases as time increases so point $(N, 0)$ is stable equilibrium point. For case 1 , now we will have the equilibrium point $(\rho, N-\rho)$ to look at. Now we get two eigenvalues $\lambda=0, b-a N$. So solution correspond to $\lambda=0$ is $x(t)=n\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. So solution correspond to $\lambda=b-a N$ is $x(t)=e^{(b-a N) t} n\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$. Thus this point is a stable equilibrium point.

## SIR Epidemics

SIR stands for Susceptible $\rightarrow$ Infected $\rightarrow$ Removal epidemics. The term removal is a general one which allows for infected individuals to be no longer infected, yet not susceptible either.

## SIR Epidemics

SIR stands for Susceptible $\rightarrow$ Infected $\rightarrow$ Removal epidemics. The term removal is a general one which allows for infected individuals to be no longer infected, yet not susceptible either.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d S}{d t}=-a S I \\
& \frac{d I}{d t}=a S I-b I  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{d R}{d t}=b I
\end{align*}
$$

We are assuming that the rate of recovery/death is proportional to number of sick people.

## Vaccinations/Cures in epidemic modelling

People can go directly from the susceptible to the Immune category through the adminstration of a vaccine. Sometime the number of vaccinations given to be proportional to the number of susceptible, Infected (sick) or recovered people. But generally a certain number of shots would be given per day or time period. Let us suppose some parameter $c$ that represents the number of vaccinations given per time period. Then the model will look like

## Vaccinations/Cures in epidemic modelling

People can go directly from the susceptible to the Immune category through the adminstration of a vaccine. Sometime the number of vaccinations given to be proportional to the number of susceptible, Infected (sick) or recovered people. But generally a certain number of shots would be given per day or time period. Let us suppose some parameter $c$ that represents the number of vaccinations given per time period. Then the model will look like

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d S}{d t}=-a S I-c \\
& \frac{d I}{d t}=a S I-b I  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{d R}{d t}=b I+c
\end{align*}
$$

## Chemical Reactions

## Model of reaction rates:

Consider the following equation for the oxidation of iron

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \mathrm{Fe}+2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

One would expect the increase in the amount of iron oxide to be proportional to the decreases in oxygen and in iron. It is important to note that 2 moles of oxygen and 3 of iron are needed, so for every decraeses in oxygen of 2 moles there will be an incraese in only 1 mole of iron oxide. Thus the decease in a reactant must be devided by its stoiciometric coeffcient:

## Chemical Reactions

## Model of reaction rates:

Consider the following equation for the oxidation of iron

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \mathrm{Fe}+2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One would expect the increase in the amount of iron oxide to be proportional to the decreases in oxygen and in iron. It is important to note that 2 moles of oxygen and 3 of iron are needed, so for every decraeses in oxygen of 2 moles there will be an incraese in only 1 mole of iron oxide. Thus the decease in a reactant must be devided by its stoiciometric coeffcient:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left[F e_{3} O_{4}\right]}{d t}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\left[O_{2}\right]}{d t}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{d[F e]}{d t} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In more general terms, for reactants $R$, products $P$ and their coefficients $r$ and $p$

In more general terms, for reactants $R$, products $P$ and their coefficients $r$ and $p$

$$
r_{1} R_{1}+r_{2} R_{2}+\cdots+r_{n} R_{n} \rightarrow p_{1} P_{1}+p_{2} P_{2}+\cdots+p_{k} P_{k}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Longrightarrow\left(\frac{1}{p_{i}}\right) \frac{d\left[P_{i}\right]}{d t}=-\left(\frac{1}{r_{j}}\right) \frac{d\left[R_{j}\right]}{d t} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

