

Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Delhi
EEL709 Pattern Recognition: Minor II Examination
 (Closed book/Closed Notes) Time: 1 hour Maximum Marks: 20

“Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour’s answers”

Useful Formulae and Results:

The KLT: $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{p}_i$. Here \mathbf{p}_i are Type-I normalised $k \times 1$ patterns (n of which can be stacked to get the Type-I normalised pattern matrix \mathbf{P}). \mathbf{U} is a matrix of eigenvectors of the covariance matrix $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^T$. There are k eigenvectors \mathbf{u}_i corresponding to eigenvalues λ_i .

Useful Result 1: Eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix corresponding to non-repeated eigenvalues, are orthonormal.

Useful Result 2: Diagonalisation of a square matrix \mathbf{B} : $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}^{-1}$.

The SVD: $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^T$, where this \mathbf{U} is the $k \times k$ matrix of orthonormal basis vectors, $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a $k \times n$ matrix having singular values σ_i along the main diagonal (the other values are all zero), and \mathbf{V} is an $n \times n$ matrix of the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{P}^T \mathbf{P}$. These eigenvectors \mathbf{v}_i correspond to eigenvalues λ_i , and we define $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i}$, and $\mathbf{u}_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{v}_i$.

Useful Result 3: Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalisation: $\mathbf{u}_k = \mathbf{v}_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle} \mathbf{u}_j$, where \mathbf{u}_j are the new orthogonal basis vectors corresponding to the given set of k basis vectors \mathbf{v}_j , $j = 1, \dots, k$, and all other symbols have their usual meaning.

1. कभी आयी खुशी, कभी Eigen...

(a) सफ़ेदी की छमकार, ज्यादा सफ़ेद - **The Whitening Transformation:** This is given by $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i = \Lambda^{-1/2} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{p}_i$. Here, we deal with $k \times 1$ Type-I normalized pattern vectors (*i.e.*, normalised with respect to the mean) \mathbf{p}_i , $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Λ is a diagonal matrix of their eigenvalues, and \mathbf{U} is their corresponding eigenvector matrix. What is the covariance matrix of the transformed patterns $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}_i$? (2 marks)

(b) **Orthopaedic Orthonormality: Bone-Breaking Normal Work** Show that for non-repeated eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix, the eigenvectors will be orthonormal. Consider the case of repeated eigenvalues of a symmetric matrix \mathbf{A} . Specifically use the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process to show that it is still possible to obtain a set of orthonormal vectors. (5 marks)

(c) **Projection Interjection, Nothing to Lose?** What is the physical significance of projecting a new vector onto an eigenspace? Explain using mathematical expressions, what the above implies, for both the KL-Transform as well as the SVD. Now, explain how the KL-Transform and the SVD respectively perform lossy compression. (2 + 2 marks)

2. The *logistic regression* model for binary classification applies the following mapping for a given input feature vector \mathbf{x} :

$$p(C_1|\mathbf{x}) = y(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x});$$

where \mathbf{w} is a vector of parameters (including an intercept w_0 , with the convention that $x_0 = 1$), σ is the logistic sigmoid function, and C_1 is one of the two class labels.

Write down the likelihood of a given data set $\{(\mathbf{x}_1, t_1); (\mathbf{x}_2, t_2); \dots; (\mathbf{x}_N, t_N)\}$, where the output $t_n \in \{0, 1\}$, corresponding to the classes labeled C_0 and C_1 respectively. Show that for a linearly separable data set, the maximum likelihood solution is given by a vector \mathbf{w} whose decision boundary corresponds to a separating hyperplane, and where the magnitude of \mathbf{w} is infinity. (4 marks)

3. Consider a binary classification problem where the input feature space is 2-dimensional. The coordinates of the positive examples are $(1, 1)$ and $(-1, -1)$. The coordinates of the negative examples are $(1, -1)$ and $(-1, 1)$.

(a) Is the data separable in the original space? Consider a feature transformation defined by $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = [1, x_1, x_2, x_1x_2]$, where x_1 and x_2 are the two elements of the input vector \mathbf{x} . In this transformed space, give the weight vector \mathbf{w} corresponding to the maximum-margin separating hyperplane. (You need not show any derivation for this.) (2 marks)

(b) Now add a fifth data point to your set, such that the data becomes non-separable even in the transformed space. Draw a plot showing the original 4 points plus your new point in the original 2-dimensional feature space. Justify why your new point makes the data non-separable even in the $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ space. (1.5 marks)

(c) Write down the *kernel function* corresponding to $\phi(\mathbf{x})$. Is this a Mercer kernel? (1.5 marks)