

1. (a)

	H	C
PH	882	10
PC	18	90

Given: $p(H) = \theta$; $p(C) = 1 - \theta$; $p(PH|H) = p$;
 $p(PC|H) = 1 - p$; $p(PH|C) = 1 - q$; $p(PC|C) = q$

The joint likelihood of the data is:

$$L(p, q, \theta) = p(H, PH)^{882} p(H, PC)^{18} p(C, PH)^{10} p(C, PC)^{90}$$

Now $p(H, PH) = p(H) \cdot p(PH|H) = \theta p$

Similarly for the other 3 cases...

$$\Rightarrow L(p, q, \theta) = (\theta p)^{882} (\theta(1-p))^{18} ((1-\theta)(1-q))^{10} ((1-\theta)q)^{90}$$

$$= \theta^{900} (1-\theta)^{100} p^{882} (1-p)^{18} q^{90} (1-q)^{10}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 900 \theta^{899} (1-\theta)^{100} p^{882} \dots$$

$$- \theta^{900} \cdot 100 (1-\theta)^{99} p^{882} \dots$$

$$= \{ 900(1-\theta) - \theta \cdot 100 \} \{ \theta^{899} (1-\theta)^{99} p^{882} \dots \}$$

For max. likelihood, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0$

$\theta = 0$, $\theta = 1$ are clearly minima, not maxima

$$\Rightarrow 900(1-\theta_{ML}) - 100\theta_{ML} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_{ML} = \frac{900}{1000} = \boxed{0.9}$$

Similarly, $p_{ML} = \frac{882}{900} = \boxed{0.98}$

$$q_{ML} = \frac{90}{100} = \boxed{0.9}$$

$$1.(b) \quad E(L_{FOB}) = 0 \cdot P(H, PH) + K \cdot P(C, PH) + 1 \cdot P(H, PC) + 0 \cdot P(C, PC)$$

$$= K(1-\theta)(1-q) + \theta(1-p)$$

[using ML estimates] $= K(0.1)(0.1) + 0.9(0.02) = \boxed{0.01K + 0.018}$

$$1.(c) \quad E(L_{ETS}) = K(1-\theta)(1-q') + \theta(1-p')$$

[θ_{ML} is the same for the same cohort]

$$= K(0.1)(0.04) + 0.9(0.2)$$

$$= \boxed{0.004K + 0.18}$$

For critical K , $E(L_{ETS}) = E(L_{FOB})$

$$\Rightarrow 0.004K + 0.18 = 0.01K + 0.018$$

$$0.006K = 0.162$$

$$K = \boxed{27}$$

$$2.(a) \quad P(\underline{k} | \lambda) = \frac{N}{\prod_{i=1}^k} \frac{\lambda^{k_i} e^{-\lambda}}{k_i!} = \frac{\lambda^{\sum_i k_i} e^{-N\lambda}}{\prod_i k_i!}$$

$$\log P(\underline{k} | \lambda) = \sum_i k_i \log \lambda - N\lambda - \log(\prod_i k_i!)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log P(\underline{k} | \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\sum_i k_i}{\lambda} - N$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{ML} = \boxed{\frac{\sum_i k_i}{N}}$$

$$2. (b) \quad \lambda_{ML} = \frac{6+32+5+4+4}{5} = \boxed{10.2} \text{ minutes}$$

clearly distorted by outlier 32.

$$2. (c) \quad p(\lambda | \underline{k}) = \frac{p(\underline{k} | \lambda) \cdot p(\lambda)}{p(\underline{k})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{p(\underline{k})} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\sum_i k_i} e^{-N\lambda}}{\prod_i k_i!} \cdot \frac{1}{K} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{K p(\underline{k})} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\sum_i k_i + \alpha - 1} e^{-(N+\beta)\lambda}}{\prod_i k_i!}$$

By analogy with part (a),

$$\lambda_{MAP} = \frac{\sum_i k_i + \alpha - 1}{N + \beta}$$

2. (d) we see that prior has effect of adding on β additional 'observations', having a total value of $\alpha - 1$, to the actual data. Thus, if $\beta = 10$, and our prior expectation of the average interval is 5, then $\alpha = 51$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{MAP} = \frac{51 + 51 - 1}{5 + 10} = \frac{101}{15} = \boxed{6.73}$$

Thus, with a small amount of data, we have shifted the estimate closer to the prior expectation and reduced the distorting effect of one outlier.

$$\begin{aligned}
 3. \quad P(c_1 | \underline{x}) &= \frac{P(\underline{x} | c_1) \cdot P(c_1)}{P(\underline{x})} \\
 &= \frac{P(\underline{x} | c_1) \cdot P(c_1)}{P(\underline{x} | c_1) \cdot P(c_1) + P(\underline{x} | c_2) \cdot P(c_2)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{P(\underline{x} | c_2) P(c_2)}{P(\underline{x} | c_1) P(c_1)}} = \boxed{\frac{1}{1 + e^{-a}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a = \ln \frac{P(\underline{x} | c_1) P(c_1)}{P(\underline{x} | c_2) P(c_2)}$ [to show this is $\underline{w}^T \underline{x} + w_0$]

$$\Rightarrow a = \ln \left\{ \frac{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x} - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \mu_1)\right\} \cdot \theta}{\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\underline{x} - \mu_2)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \mu_2)\right\} \cdot (1-\theta)} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{1}{2} (\underline{x} - \mu_1)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \mu_1) + \frac{1}{2} (\underline{x} - \mu_2)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\underline{x} - \mu_2) \\
 &\quad + \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

[quadratic terms cancel]

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \cancel{\underline{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{x}} - \underline{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 - \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{x} + \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \cancel{\underline{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{x}} + \underline{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 + \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{x} - \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 \right\} \\
 &\quad + \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

[re-writing dot products]

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ -(\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1})^T \underline{x} - \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{x} + (\Sigma^{-1} \mu_2)^T \underline{x} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \underline{x} \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 \\
 &\quad + \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

We know that Σ^{-1} is symmetric : $\Sigma^{-1} = (\Sigma^{-1})^T$

$$\therefore (\mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1}) = (\Sigma^{-1} \mu_1)^T$$

$$\Rightarrow a = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ -(\Sigma^{-1} \mu_1)^T \underline{x} - (\Sigma^{-1} \mu_1)^T \underline{x} \right. \\ \left. + (\Sigma^{-1} \mu_2)^T \underline{x} + (\Sigma^{-1} \mu_2)^T \underline{x} \right\} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \mu_2^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_2 - \frac{1}{2} \mu_1^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_1 + \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right)$$

$\triangleq \omega_0$

$$= +\frac{1}{2} \left\{ +2 \left[\Sigma^{-1} (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \right]^T \underline{x} \right\} + \omega_0$$

$\triangleq \underline{\omega}$

$$= \boxed{\underline{\omega}^T \underline{x} + \omega_0}$$